

National Audit of Care at the End of Life

**Third round of the audit
(2021/22) appendices**

England and Wales



Contents

Appendix 1: National policy context	3
Appendix 2: Audit background and governance	5
Appendix 3: Audit objectives	6
Appendix 4: Audit standards	7
Appendix 5: Audit structure and scope	8
Appendix 6: Eligibility, recruitment and registration	10
Appendix 7: Data collection	11
Appendix 8: Data validation and cleansing	12
Appendix 9: NACEL Cause for Concern policy	13
Appendix 10: NACEL Management of Outliers policy	14
Appendix 11: NACEL Quality Improvement Plan	15
Appendix 12: Fourth round of NACEL	16
Appendix 13: Glossary	17
Appendix 14: References	20
Appendix 15: Hospital summary scores	21
Appendix 16: Method for scoring	33
Appendix 17: Patient demographics	42
Appendix 18: Characteristics of deaths in hospitals	43
Appendix 19: Supplementary Quality Survey information	44
Appendix 20: Staff Reported Measure demographics	48
Appendix 21: Audit summary	49
Appendix 22: Steering Group, Advisory Group, Mental Health Reference Group and Audit Team	50
Appendix 23: Audit participation	53



Appendix 1: National policy context

Death and dying are the one certainty in life, and being able to live as well as possible until death happens, is something we all value in our society. Not only is having a 'good' death important, but the needs of those dying, those close to them, the bereaved families, friends and carers must be addressed, with personalised preferences, choices and wishes being taken into account. NACEL reviews deaths in an inpatient setting taking into account the experiences of the dying person, those close to them, and for the first time in 2021, a baseline survey on staff experience of delivering end of life care. This has been particularly challenging given that NACEL was collecting data whilst in the grip of the pandemic. During the pandemic, deaths in hospital in England and Wales fell from 46% to 37%, with a record increase in deaths at home (increasing from 24% in pre-pandemic times, to 33% during the pandemic). Within these deaths at home, the pandemic saw record numbers of deaths in care homes with an increase of 19.5% since the beginning of the pandemic compared with the 5 year average in England and Wales. Of these, 24.5% of deaths in care homes involved Covid-19 as a cause of death.

In order to keep pace with the evolving pandemic, with different waves occurring in different parts of the country at different times, national governments were issuing guidance for clinicians and managers at speed to keep providers of end of life care up-to-date on managing the pandemic. The full suite of guidance issued can be accessed (for England) via [NICE](#). A suite of resources were made available to help deliver services during the pandemic, with a considerable impact on the delivery of both emergency and elective care. The effects of the pandemic will continue to be felt with a backlog of elective care for the NHS to deliver.

The Leadership Alliance report, undertaken in 2014, still remains relevant for end of life care delivery. It undertook a system wide approach to improve the care of people who are dying, and those that are important to them, and published the key document [One Chance to Get It Right](#), setting out an approach that all organisations can adopt in the planning and delivery of care. *One Chance To Get It Right, 2014* focuses on the *Five priorities for care of the dying person* which, along with the *NICE Quality Standards and guidelines*, provide the audit standards for NACEL. The Leadership Alliance was established following the Neuberger review into the Liverpool Care Pathway (LCP) which was phased out of care across acute and community hospital settings in 2013. In round two of NACEL, 100% of respondents confirmed that the LCP was not used in any circumstance of end of life care delivery.

NHS England and NHS Improvement have an ambitious and transformative approach to palliative and end of life care, during 2021 – 2026, to ensure “sustainable, responsive, personalised palliative and end of life care for all, irrespective of age, area, condition or setting”.

The programme is aligned to the recently refreshed [Ambitions for Palliative and End of Life Care: A national framework for local action 2021 – 2026](#). Programme ambitions are:

1. Each person is seen as an individual
2. Each person gets fair access to care
3. Maximising comfort and wellbeing
4. Care is co-ordinated
5. All staff are prepared to care
6. Each community is prepared to help

Further strategies pertaining to the English system for care at the end of life have been introduced and reference is made to these on page 14 of the [National Audit of Care at the End of Life – First round of the audit \(2018/19\) report, England and Wales](#).



Appendix 1: National policy context

[A Healthier Wales](#) sets out the Welsh Government's long-term plan for health and social care in Wales. The plan commits to having a greater emphasis on preventing illness, on supporting people to manage their own health and wellbeing, and to enable people to live independently for as long as they can, supported by new technologies and by integrated health and social care services which are delivered closer to home. End of life care remains a priority for the Welsh Government and the end of life care pathway is identified as an area of initial focus within the plan.

In relation to end of life care, the current [End of Life Care Delivery Plan](#), will reach its term in 2022, alongside the establishment of an NHS Wales Executive and a [National Clinical Framework](#). Progress has been made with the establishment of the End of Life Care Board (EOLB) and the Palliative Care Clinical Groups (paediatric and adult) in 2009 which strengthened the 'One Wales' approach. Every Health Board in Wales has developed an End of Life Delivery Plan as part of the Welsh Government's End of Life Strategy. Annual reporting on progress using the outcome indicators identified in the strategy has been undertaken. Collaboration and engagement between statutory and voluntary services providing specialist palliative care and strategic engagement within Health Boards has also taken place, and all services have an identified executive lead and an Advance/Future Care Planning (A/FCP) lead.

The [Care Decisions for the Last days of Life](#) policy and documentation is well utilised within Wales, and is aimed at providing consistent care appropriate to patients, and those important to them, in the last days of life. Health Boards in Wales are actively working with delivery partners to embed the policy.

In 2021, NHS Wales undertook a [Review of Specialist Palliative Care Services in Wales from 2010 to 2021](#). This report reviews the developments, improvements, and challenges of the past decade of specialist palliative care services in Wales, but importantly, it highlights the areas for improvement, change, and the challenges ahead into the next decade. It details where improvement has been made through a collaboration and partnership approach, and recognises the impact of audit to positively impact on patient care. The report also contains a number of recommendations recognising the new opportunities and ambitions from the last decade, including the impact of the pandemic in Wales.



Appendix 2: Audit background and governance

NACEL was commissioned by HQIP on behalf of NHS England and the Welsh Government, with the programme beginning in October 2017, initially commissioned to run for three years with an annual audit cycle. This was extended by a further two years, running until October 2022.

This report covers the findings from round three of the audit undertaken in 2021. NACEL was paused in 2020 because of the pandemic. As in the previous year, governance of NACEL has been through a multi-disciplinary Steering Group, with input from a wider Advisory Group. The membership of the Steering and Advisory Groups can be found at Appendix 22. Dr Suzanne Kite, Clinical Lead for Palliative and End of Life Care and Elizabeth Rees, Lead Nurse for End of Life Care, from Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, continue to provide joint clinical leadership of the audit. As NACEL was running during the time of further waves of the pandemic, the funders agreed that to reduce data burden on participating providers, the Quality Survey and new Staff Reported Measure would be made optional for participants. Wales took the decision to opt-out of the Quality Survey, and the Quality Survey evidence used in this round of the audit derives from English participants only.

A diagrammatic representation of the governance arrangements can be found on the NACEL Project Management and Governance Structure [organogram](#).

A Mental Health Spotlight Audit was also run in 2021, and the findings from the spotlight audit can be found in the [Mental Health Spotlight Audit Summary Report](#).

In round three of NACEL, as in previous rounds, the Northern Ireland Public Health Agency separately commissioned the NHS Benchmarking Network to cover Northern Ireland's participation. The findings for Northern Ireland are reported in a separate document.



Appendix 3: Audit objectives

NACEL is a national comparative audit of the quality and outcomes of care experienced by the dying person and those important to them during the last admission before death in acute, community hospitals and mental health inpatient providers in England, Wales and Northern Ireland.

The audit objectives for the third round of NACEL encompass the following:

1. To refine the tools for assessing compliance with national guidance on care at the end of life – *One Chance To Get It Right, 2014, NICE guidelines* and the *NICE Quality Standards* for end of life care.
2. To measure the experience of care at the end of life for dying people and those important to them.
3. To provide audit outputs which enable stakeholders to identify areas for service improvement.
4. To provide a strategic overview of progress with the provision of high-quality care at the end of life in England, Wales and Northern Ireland.



Appendix 4: Audit standards

NACEL measures the performance of hospitals against criteria relating to the delivery of care at the end of life which are considered best practice. These criteria are derived from national guidance, including *One Chance To Get It Right, 2014* and *NICE Quality Standards and guidance*. Specifically, the audit was designed to capture information on the *Five priorities for care* of the dying person as set out in *One Chance To Get It Right, 2014*. The priorities make the dying person themselves the focus of care in the last few days and hours of life, and specifically cite outcomes which must be delivered for every dying person. The *Five priorities for care* of the dying person are as follows:

1. This possibility (that a person may die within the next few days or hours) is recognised and communicated clearly, decisions made and actions taken in accordance with the person's needs and wishes, and these are regularly reviewed and decisions revised accordingly.
2. Sensitive communication takes place between staff and the dying person, and those identified as important to them.
3. The dying person, and those identified as important to them, are involved in decisions about treatment and care to the extent that the dying person wants.
4. The needs of families and others identified as important to the dying person are actively explored, respected and met as far as possible.
5. An individual plan of care, which includes food and drink, symptom control and psychological, social and spiritual support, is agreed, co-ordinated and delivered with compassion.

The audit is also closely aligned with *NICE Quality Standards and guidelines*. *NICE Quality Standard 13 [End of life care for adults](#)* covers care for adults (aged 18 and over) who are approaching their end of life. It includes people who are likely to die within 12 months, people with advanced, progressive, incurable conditions and people with life-threatening acute conditions. It also covers support for their families and carers and includes care provided by health and social care staff in all settings. It describes high-quality care in priority areas for improvement. In March 2017, this quality standard was updated and statement 11 on care in the last days of life was removed and replaced by *NICE's Quality Standard 144*.

More specifically, there are two publications from NICE which outline standards which should be expected for the dying person and people important to them in the last few days of life. *NICE Clinical Guidelines NG31 [Care of dying adults in the last days of life](#)* covers the clinical care of dying adults (18 years and over) in the last few days of life. It aims to improve care for people by communicating respectfully and involving them, and the people important to them, in decisions and by maintaining their comfort and dignity. The guideline covered how to manage common symptoms without causing unacceptable side effects and maintain hydration in the last days of life.

NICE Quality Standard 144, [Care of dying adults in the last days of life](#), identifies priority areas for quality improvement for the same group of people as in NG31.



Appendix 5: Audit structure and scope

As in previous rounds of the audit, NACEL covered the last admission to hospital prior to death and included NHS funded end of life care for adults (18+) in acute and community hospitals in England and Wales. Again, hospices were excluded. Mental health providers of inpatient care were invited to participate in a Mental Health Spotlight Audit, participating in all data elements for the first time. The Summary Report for the [Mental Health Spotlight Audit 2021](#) can be found at.

NACEL has several elements.

An **Organisational Level Audit** covering:

- **Trust/Health Board** questions - metrics completed at the Trust/HB level covering policies and governance.
- **Hospital/site** questions – metrics covering hospital/submission level questions. As in previous rounds, organisations could create multiple ‘submissions’ for their different hospital sites if they wished to audit the hospitals separately. This focused on the specialist palliative care workforce, staff training, anticipatory prescribing and quality and outcomes. Additional questions were asked across on the impact of COVID-19.

A **Case Note Review** completed for each submission focused on the themes of ‘recognition of imminent death’, and ‘individualised end of life care planning’. The section on ‘involvement in decision making’ theme was reinstated for round three.

In line with round two, acute providers were asked to undertake up to 40 Case Note Reviews; 20 consecutive deaths from 12th April to 25th April and 20 consecutive deaths from 1st May to 14th May 2021. Community hospital providers were requested to audit all deaths during April and May up to a maximum of 40. The definition of deaths to be reviewed remained unchanged, as feedback from audit participants demonstrated that clinical reviewers were able to categorise the deaths appropriately. The following categories of deaths were audited: -

Category 1: It was recognised that the patient may die - it had been recognised by the hospital staff that the patient may die imminently (i.e. within hours or days). Life sustaining treatments may still be being offered in parallel to end of life care.

Category 2: The patient was not expected to die - imminent death was not recognised or expected by the hospital staff. However, the patient may have had a life limiting condition or, for example, be frail, so that whilst death wasn't recognised as being imminent, hospital staff were "not surprised" that the patient died.

As in previous rounds of NACEL, deaths which were classed as "sudden deaths" were excluded from the Case Note Review. These were deaths which were sudden and unexpected; this included, but was not limited to, the following:

- all deaths in Accident and Emergency departments
- deaths within 4 hours of admission to hospital
- deaths due to a life-threatening acute condition caused by a sudden catastrophic event, with a full escalation of treatment plan in place. These deaths would not fall into either Category 1 or 2 above.



Appendix 5: Audit structure and scope

The **NACEL Quality Survey**, designed to gain feedback from relatives, carers and those close to the person who died, on their experiences of the care and support received at the end of life, ran in 2021. This was separate to any bereavement survey conducted internally by participating Trusts/HBs. In round three, a greater period of time was given to audit participants to contact bereaved carers to encourage a higher volume of responses. Audit participants were requested to send Quality Survey invitations to the bereaved families and others from all deaths occurring in April through to August 2021. The survey remained online with a unique code generated for each bereaved carer by participating trusts, which was posted out. The Patients Association Helpline remained as a resource to help those bereaved carers who were unable to complete the survey online.

As mentioned earlier, this data element was made optional by audit funders to reduce data burden for participants, particularly whilst in the midst of further waves of the pandemic.

Additional element of NACEL undertaken in 2021

In line with contractual requirements, the NHSBN was tasked with including the new **Staff Reported Measure (SRM)** developed and piloted during 2020. The process for the development of the SRM can be found in the [NACEL Second Round of the Audit \(2019/20\) appendices. England and Wales](#) at Appendix 1.

As mentioned earlier, this data element was made optional by audit funders. The SRM is a survey aimed at members of staff who were most likely to come into contact with dying patients and those important to them. The survey asked questions pertaining to staff confidence and experience in delivering care at the end of life and was completed for each submission. Staff completed the online SRM questionnaire using a unique link generated for each hospital/site or through a unique QR code. Resources were made available to Trusts/HBs to encourage responses from staff. The responses were linked to each submission and were anonymous. Trusts/HBs were encouraged to have 100 completed SRMs per acute provider and 20 SRMs completed per community hospital site. This entailed sending out a request to 400 acute based staff and 100 community hospital based staff to respond to the SRM. Guidance was made available to Trusts/HBs on which staff groups and which locations ought to be covered. Exclusions were maternity and paediatric inpatient staff, and any wards unlikely to have dying people on them.

The new Staff Reported Measure was well responded to and three new themes have been covered by the data emanating from this element:-

- **Staff confidence**
- **Staff support**
- **Care and culture**



Appendix 6: Eligibility, recruitment and registration

All NHS acute sites and community hospital providers of adult inpatient care in England and Wales were eligible to take part in the audit. A letter inviting each organisation to take part in the audit was sent to the Chief Executive, Director of Nursing, the Lead Nurse for End of Life Care, where available, and Project Leads who had registered their organisations for NACEL in previous rounds. Overall, 95% of eligible organisations participated in round three of NACEL.

Registration was completed online as in previous rounds. During registration, all organisations had the option of setting up multiple submissions to cover different hospital sites. Community hospital providers were offered the option of combining all sites into one submission where appropriate, however, some organisations chose to register separate hospitals/sites.



Appendix 7: Data collection

Data collection opened on the 1st June 2021 and closed on the 8th October 2021 for all four elements of NACEL. No extensions were given due to timescales required to complete analysis and reporting.

For the Organisational Level Audit, participants were asked to complete one hospital/site level questionnaire for each submission created on registration. Questions related to the period 2020/21.

For the Case Note Review, acute hospital providers were asked to audit up to 40 eligible patients for each submission created on registration; 20 consecutive deaths between 12th April - 25th April 2021 and 20 consecutive deaths between 1st May - 14th May 2021. Community Hospital providers were requested to audit up to 40 eligible deaths also, covering all consecutive deaths between 1st April - 31st May 2021.

In addition, audit participants were also requested to complete an Audit Summary data specification with the following information:

- the number of people dying in the audit period excluding deaths within A&E and within 4 hours of admission to hospital;
- the total number of people dying in A&E within the audit period;
- the total number of people dying within 4 hours of admission to hospital within the audit period; and
- the number of Quality Survey letters sent.

Data collection for NACEL was via a bespoke online data entry tool for the Organisational Level Audit and the Case Note Review. The audit tool included definitional guidance for each metric requested, including additional guidance for Wales where appropriate. Excel versions of all data specifications could be downloaded to assist audit participants with internal data collation prior to the input of data onto the data collection tool.

Further validation controls were built into the system to ensure, for example, that if a death was categorised as a Category 2 death, then limited, applicable questions were available to respond to. The online data collection pages were simplified, and clearer steps were defined to enable easier responses to each audit element.

The NACEL Quality Survey and Staff Reported Measure were completed via an online questionnaire with unique links and QR codes. Additional help was made available by The Patients Association to help bereaved carers having difficulty with the online questionnaire response.



Appendix 8: Data validation and cleansing

Data validation controls were implemented on several levels within the online data collection tool. Information buttons next to each metric contained definitional guidance of the data required to ensure consistency of the data collected. In addition, system validation was implemented to protect the integrity of the data collected, including allowable ranges, expected magnitude of data fields, numerical versus text completion, appropriate decimal point placing and text formatting.

An extensive data validation exercise was undertaken from mid-October to the end of November 2021. Outlying positions and unusual data were queried with NACEL participants. A draft online toolkit was made available to NACEL participants at the beginning of November 2021 to assist with checking data submissions. The final NACEL toolkit was made available in January 2022.

The NACEL Quality Survey narrative was cleansed prior to release back to participating Trusts so that all potentially identifiable information had been removed.



Appendix 9: NACEL Cause for Concern policy

The [Cause for Concern Policy](#) is available on the NACEL webpages. The Cause for Concern policy was updated for round three of NACEL to include an additional process for Wales reporting.

Comments to the narrative question in the Case Note Review and the narrative question from the NACEL Quality Survey were reviewed by the NACEL Director and the Clinical Leads. Six comments were flagged to be taken forward into the Cause for Concern process under Category 1 (single case record level evidence). No Trusts/HBs were found to be a concern under Category 2 (cluster of case note-level evidence). The six cases were then discussed with HQIP, and it was agreed that all six cases warranted a formal escalation as per the policy. Letters were sent out to the CEO, Medical Director and Project Leads of the Trusts/HBs with narrative responses going through the cause for concern process. These letters detailed the cause for concern process and the timelines for initial responses. A formal acknowledgement of the letter was requested with a request for an internal investigation to occur within a defined time period, and Trusts/HBs were given the identifying code so that a review of care could be undertaken. The disclosure of the identifying code was in accordance with an expectation set in explaining the use of the NACEL Quality Survey responses to the respondent. Following review of the Trust/HBs responses by the NACEL Clinical Leads, all six cause for concern notifications were closed with no case to answer.

All other comments received from respondents either to the Case Note Review or the NACEL Quality Survey were fed back anonymously to participating hospitals for consideration by them in the context of their internal governance procedures.



Appendix 10: NACEL Management of Outliers policy

The [*Management of Outliers Policy*](#) is available on the NACEL webpages. The policy has been informed by [*Detection and management of outliers for national clinical audits: Implementation guide for NCAPOP providers*](#) and approved by the NACEL Steering Group. Two indicators from the NACEL data collection are used to undertake the Management of Outliers analysis.

For round three, the Management of Outlier analysis was run separately for acute and community providers. The analysis identified 17 outlying submissions, 13 alerts and 4 alarms. NHSBN informed the Project Lead in the provider organisation about the potential outlier status and requested to identify any data errors or justifiable explanation/s. Data packs including the data analysis were sent to the provider Project Lead, the organisation CEO and Medical Director via email. All providers responded to the NHSBN within the required time. The NHSBN reviewed the provider response to determine if there was 'No case to answer' or 'Case to answer'. The NHSBN updated the submissions data if requested.

Following the updates, NHSBN re- ran the Management of Outliers analysis. At this point, 3 submissions remained as outliers (3 alerts & 0 alarms). The NHSBN, in line with the policy, contacted all provider leads by telephone, prior to sending written confirmation of continuing outlier status. All relevant data and statistical analyses, including the previous response from the provider Project Lead, was sent to the organisation's Medical Director and CEO. In line with the policy, the CQC/Welsh Government and HQIP were informed of the alert status submission at the end of January 2022.

To complete the Management of Outliers analysis for NACEL round three, the NHSBN will identify the alert outliers within their bespoke dashboard report, published to participants at the end of February 2022.



Appendix 11: NACEL Quality Improvement Plan

The NACEL [Quality Improvement Plan](#) outlines how the findings from previous rounds of NACEL have established where Trusts/HBs have better compliance against the *NICE Guidelines and Quality Standards* and the *Five priorities for care* as outlined in *One Chance To Get It Right, 2014*.



Appendix 12: Fourth round of NACEL

NACEL has been commissioned by HQIP to run as an annual audit, initially for three years from 2017 to 2020. A further two years of the audit have been commissioned via HQIP, resulting in one further round prior to the NACEL re-tender occurring in 2022.

NACEL round four will be delivered during the 2021/22 financial year. The scope and content of NACEL round four will be the same as NACEL round three with all four data elements remaining. The sample size for the number of Case Note Reviews to be completed is under discussion with the NACEL Steering Group.

There is evidence that the findings from the three rounds of NACEL completed to date are actively being used, with many audit participants providing information on the impact of their NACEL findings locally. These include having evidence for business cases for additional specialist palliative care staff, raising awareness at system level with system partners, internal dashboard developments, mechanisms to ensure capturing spiritual, religious and cultural needs and improvements in developing individualised end of life care plans. The NHSBN team, together with the Co-Clinical Leads continue to present the NACEL findings at relevant conferences and workshops.

The Mental Health Spotlight Audit will not be repeated in round four. Mental Health providers of inpatient care will not be required to participate in round four.

A NACEL 'round four warm-up' event will be held prior to data collection opening to ensure audit participants are well prepared for the requirements of round four. Feedback from round three has been reviewed and a number of improvements to NACEL processes will be implemented prior to the commencement of round four.



Appendix 13: Glossary

Acronyms and abbreviations

CNR	Case Note Review (see page 8 for definition)
DNACPR	Do Not Attempt Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation
DPIA	Data Protection Impact Assessment
e-ELCA	End of Life Care for All - e-Learning
ESR	Electronic Staff Record
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulation
GMC	General Medical Council
H/S	Hospital/Site Organisational Level Audit
HB	Health Board (in Wales)
HDU	High Dependency Unit
HQIP	The Healthcare Quality Improvement Partnership is led by a consortium of the Academy of Medical Royal Colleges, the Royal College of Nursing and National Voices
ICS	Integrated Care System
NACEL	The National Audit of Care at the End of Life commissioned by HQIP from NHSBN in October 2017
NCAPOP	National Clinical Audit Programme and the Clinical Outcome Review Programmes
NHSBN	The NHS Benchmarking Network is the in-house benchmarking service of the NHS promoting service and quality improvement through benchmarking and sharing good practice
NICE	National Institute for Clinical Health and Excellence
OLA	Organisational Level Audit (see page 8 for definition)
QS	Quality Survey (see page 9 for definition)
SPC	Specialist Palliative Care
SRM	Staff Reported Measure (see page 9 for definition)



Appendix 13: Glossary

Terms used in this report

'anticipatory medication'	Medication prescribed in anticipation of symptoms, designed to enable rapid relief at whatever time the patient develops distressing symptoms.
Audit Summary	The Audit Summary component of NACEL was requested from each hospital or site and covered four key metrics; three on the overall number of deaths within the audit period, and a final one on how many Quality Survey letters were sent to bereaved carers by the hospital or site.
Case Note Review	The Case Note Review component of NACEL. A set of questions completed for up to 20 consecutive deaths from 12 th April to 25 th April and 20 consecutive deaths from 1 st May to 14 th May 2021 for acute providers. Community hospital providers were requested to audit all deaths during April and May up to a maximum of 40.
Category 1 death	Definition of deaths to be included in NACEL. Category 1: It was recognised that the patient may die - it had been recognised by the hospital staff that the patient may die imminently (i.e. within hours or days). Life sustaining treatments may still be being offered in parallel to end of life care.
Category 2 death	Definition of deaths to be included in NACEL. Category 2: The patient was not expected to die - imminent death was not recognised or expected by the hospital staff. However, the patient may have had a life limiting condition or, for example, be frail, so that whilst death wasn't recognised as being imminent, hospital staff were "not surprised" that the patient died.
'Families and others', 'nominated person', 'next of kin', 'carer'	These terms are used interchangeably in this report to refer to 'those important to the dying person' as used in <i>One Chance To Get It Right, 2014</i> . It is recognised that some dying people do not have such a person.
'Five priorities for care'	The <i>Five priorities for care of the dying person</i> as set out in <i>One Chance To Get It Right, 2014</i> .
'Individualised plan of care'	An 'individualised plan of care' as envisaged in <i>One Chance To Get It Right, 2014</i> . This could include any form of care plan that documents an individualised plan for care at the end of life.
'Learning from deaths'	This is a national framework for NHS trusts (England only) on identifying, reporting and learning from deaths in care.
Organisational Level Audit	The Organisational Level Audit element of NACEL is where a set of questions is completed at overall hospital or site level. The metrics requested related to the financial year 2020/21.



Appendix 13: Glossary

Terms used in this report

Project Lead	The person who will act as the lead contact for this project within participating organisations. This role will be the primary recipient of any correspondence and will be responsible for co-ordinating the data collection.
Quality Survey	An online survey to capture the views of those important to the dying person.
Staff Reported Measure	The Staff Reported Measure element of the audit, which was piloted in round two, and implemented in round three, captures the views of staff who work closely with people who are dying and those important to them.
'submission'	A hospital or site identified by the participating organisation to be audited separately.
'sudden death'	Deaths which were sudden and unexpected; this included, but was not limited to, the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• all deaths in Accident and Emergency departments• deaths within 4 hours of admission to hospital• deaths due to a life-threatening acute condition caused by a sudden catastrophic event, with a full escalation of treatment plan in place.



Appendix 14: References

- **National Palliative and End of Life Care Partnership.** *Ambitions for Palliative and End of Life Care: A national framework for local action 2021 – 2026.* May 2021
- **The Leadership Alliance for the Care of Dying People.** *One Chance to Get it Right. Improving people's experience of care in the last few days and hours of life.* June 2014
- **NICE.** Guideline NG31, *Care of dying adults in the last days of life.* 2015
- **NICE.** Quality Standard 13, *End of life care for adults.* November 2011
- **NICE.** Quality Standard 144, *Care of dying adults in the last days of life.* March 2017
- **NHS England.** *The NHS Constitution for England.* 2012
- **NHS England.** *The 2016/17 NHS Outcomes Framework.* 2016
- **NHS Wales.** *Palliative and End of Life Care Delivery Plan.* March 2017
- **NHS Wales.** *Review of Specialist Palliative Care Services in Wales From 2010 – 2021.* July 2021
- **Nursing and Midwifery Council.** *The Code: professional standards of practice and behaviour for nurses, midwives and nursing associates.* October 2018
- **Welsh Government.** *NHS Wales Delivery Framework and Reporting Guidance 2019 – 2020.* March 2019
- **Welsh Government.** *A Healthier Wales: Our Plan for Health and Social Care.* 2019
- **Welsh Government/NHS Wales.** *Safe Care, Compassionate Care. A National Governance Framework to enable high quality care in NHS Wales.* January 2013
- **Welsh Government.** *National Clinical Framework: A Learning Health and Care System.* 2021
- **Welsh Health Circular.** WHC/2016/004 Care Decisions for the Last Days of Life. April 2016
- <https://www.kingsfund.org.uk/blog/2021/06/understanding-why-deaths-home-increased-covid-19-pandemic> - accessed on 04/04/22
- <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/articles/deathsregisteredinthecaresectorenglandandwales/deathsregisteredbetweenweekending20march2020andweekending2april2021#overview> – accessed on 15/02/22



Appendix 15: Hospital summary scores

Key theme	National summary score
Recognising the possibility of imminent death (RD)	-
Communication with the dying person (CDP)	7.9
Communication with families and others (CFO)	7.0
Involvement in decision making (IDM)	9.5
Individual plan of care (IPC)	7.7
Needs of families and others (NFO)	5.6
Families' and others' experience of care (EOC)	6.5
Governance (G)	9.7
Workforce/specialist palliative care (W)	8.1
Staff Confidence (SC)	7.5
Staff Support (SS)	6.4
Care and Culture (CC)	7.3

Appendix 15 does not include summary scores for every hospital submission. To appear within the summary score table (pg 22-34), hospitals were required to provide completed responses for the Governance and Workforce/specialist palliative care summary score component indicators from the Organisational Level Audit and meet the below qualifying criteria;

Qualifying criteria for inclusion in the hospital summary score table	
Acute submissions	Community submissions
25 Case Note Reviews returned	11 Case Note Reviews returned
29 Quality Surveys returned	18 Quality Surveys returned
30 Staff Reported Measures returned	30 Staff Reported Measures returned

The summary score table should be read in conjunction with the number of Case Note Reviews completed, number of Quality Surveys received and Staff Reported Measure responses received for each submission, full participation can be found in Appendix 23.



Appendix 15: Hospital summary scores

Organisation and submission (Acute submissions)	CDP	CFO	IDM	IPC	NFO	EOC	G	W	SC	SS	CC
	7.9	7.0	9.5	7.7	5.6	6.5	9.7	8.1	7.5	6.4	7.3
Airedale NHS Foundation Trust	8.9	6.3	10.0	8.1	-	-	10.0	6.3	-	-	-
Aneurin Bevan University Health Board - ABUHB Acute	5.8	5.6	8.8	-	-	-	10.0	10.0	6.9	5.5	6.8
Ashford and St Peter's Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	7.6	6.8	8.9	-	-	-	7.5	9.4	-	-	-
Barking, Havering and Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust - King George Hospital	7.6	6.9	9.3	7.8	-	-	10.0	10.0	-	-	-
Barking, Havering and Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust - Queen's Hospital	8.4	6.9	9.1	8.3	-	-	10.0	10.0	7.7	7.1	7.2
Barnsley Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	9.4	8.5	10.0	8.8	-	-	10.0	8.8	-	-	-
Barts Health NHS Trust - Margaret Centre	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
Barts Health NHS Trust - Newham University Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	7.5	-	-	-
Barts Health NHS Trust - St Bartholomew's Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
Barts Health NHS Trust - The Royal London Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	7.5	7.3	5.7	7.1
Barts Health NHS Trust - Whipps Cross Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	7.5	7.7	7.4	7.8
Bedfordshire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Bedford hospital	9.4	9.0	10.0	9.0	-	-	10.0	10.0	-	-	-
Bedfordshire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Luton and Dunstable Hospital	8.1	7.5	8.9	-	-	-	10.0	9.4	7.2	5.8	6.9
Betsi Cadwaladr University Health Board - Acute Hospitals	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	8.8	7.2	5.1	6.8
Blackpool Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	8.2	8.3	9.4	6.2	-	-	10.0	9.4	8.2	7.3	7.9
Bolton NHS Foundation Trust	5.8	5.8	8.4	5.3	-	-	10.0	9.4	7.3	6.2	7.4
Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Bradford Royal Infirmary	9.0	8.4	9.4	-	-	7.1	10.0	6.3	7.7	6.8	7.6
Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.5	6.9	-	-	-
Buckinghamshire Healthcare NHS Trust	9.2	8.9	10.0	8.8	-	-	10.0	10.0	-	-	-
Calderdale and Huddersfield NHS Foundation Trust - Calderdale Royal Hospital	8.7	6.7	9.7	7.9	4.8	5.6	7.5	7.5	7.1	5.7	6.9
Calderdale and Huddersfield NHS Foundation Trust - Huddersfield Royal Infirmary	8.6	7.6	9.6	8.0	-	-	7.5	7.5	7.3	5.8	6.9
Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	8.6	6.6	9.6	7.4	6.1	6.8	7.5	8.8	7.9	6.1	7.3
Cardiff & Vale University Health Board - Cardiff & Vale University Health Board- Acute	6.0	7.4	8.8	7.6	-	-	10.0	8.8	6.8	5.5	6.8
Chelsea and Westminster Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	9.3	8.3	9.8	8.8	-	-	10.0	10.0	-	-	-

Appendix 15: Hospital summary scores

Organisation and submission (Acute submissions)	CDP 7.9	CFO 7.0	IDM 9.5	IPC 7.7	NFO 5.6	EOC 6.5	G 9.7	W 8.1	SC 7.5	SS 6.4	CC 7.3
Chesterfield Royal Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	-	-	9.8	-	-	-	10.0	10.0	7.7	6.7	7.4
Countess of Chester Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	7.7	7.6	9.3	7.9	-	-	10.0	6.9	7.0	5.5	6.2
County Durham and Darlington NHS Foundation Trust - Acute Hospitals	7.5	6.8	9.4	6.6	5.6	6.4	10.0	10.0	7.7	6.6	7.1
Croydon Health Services NHS Trust	9.3	9.4	9.6	8.9	-	-	10.0	9.4	6.8	6.4	7.0
Cwm Taf Morgannwg University Local Health Board - Acute	6.8	5.8	9.1	5.7	-	-	10.0	6.3	6.7	5.4	6.7
Dartford and Gravesham NHS Trust	4.6	5.6	8.5	-	-	-	10.0	9.4	-	-	-
Doncaster and Bassetlaw Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - District General Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	6.3	7.3	6.0	7.2
Doncaster and Bassetlaw Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Doncaster Royal Infirmary	8.9	8.3	9.9	9.3	6.0	7.5	10.0	8.8	7.9	6.4	7.8
Dorset County Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	6.8	6.8	9.3	-	5.1	6.4	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
East and North Hertfordshire NHS Trust	8.9	6.0	9.8	8.3	-	-	10.0	10.0	8.1	7.2	8.3
East Cheshire NHS Trust	-	-	-	-	5.7	6.8	10.0	7.5	-	-	-
East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust - Kent and Canterbury Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	9.4	7.1	6.2	7.3
East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust - Queen Elizabeth the Queen Mother Hospital	7.8	7.9	9.0	7.8	-	-	10.0	9.4	7.1	6.1	6.4
East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust - William Harvey Hospital	8.9	8.6	9.5	7.9	-	-	10.0	9.4	7.0	5.6	6.5
East Lancashire Hospitals NHS Trust - Royal Blackburn Teaching Hospital	7.8	6.5	8.9	7.3	-	-	10.0	5.6	7.8	6.0	7.0
East Suffolk and North Essex NHS Foundation Trust - Colchester Hospital	8.8	6.1	9.4	6.0	5.9	6.6	10.0	10.0	-	-	-
East Suffolk and North Essex NHS Foundation Trust - Ipswich Hospital	7.5	6.9	9.8	8.7	4.9	6.0	10.0	10.0	-	-	-
East Sussex Healthcare NHS Trust	8.6	7.4	9.6	6.8	-	-	10.0	9.4	7.4	6.4	6.9
Epsom and St Helier University Hospitals NHS Trust	9.2	6.9	9.8	8.4	-	-	10.0	-	7.7	6.6	7.4
Frimley Health NHS Foundation Trust	9.0	7.5	9.8	8.8	-	-	10.0	8.8	7.6	6.4	7.7
Gateshead Health NHS Foundation Trust - Acute	-	-	9.9	-	-	-	10.0	7.5	8.0	7.0	8.0
George Eliot Hospital NHS Trust	-	-	9.6	-	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	8.7	7.2	10.0	-	5.7	6.8	10.0	6.9	7.4	6.4	7.1



Appendix 15: Hospital summary scores

Organisation and submission (Acute submissions)	CDP	CFO	IDM	IPC	NFO	EOC	G	W	SC	SS	CC
	7.9	7.0	9.5	7.7	5.6	6.5	9.7	8.1	7.5	6.4	7.3
Great Western Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	6.7	6.1	9.8	-	-	6.8	10.0	6.9	7.2	6.5	7.3
Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust	9.0	8.4	9.6	8.7	5.0	6.3	10.0	8.8	-	-	-
Hampshire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	7.2	5.8	8.6	8.4	5.6	6.3	10.0	10.0	7.8	6.4	7.5
Harrogate and District NHS Foundation Trust	8.1	6.5	9.8	8.2	7.1	7.8	7.5	6.9	6.9	5.8	7.2
Homerton University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	9.1	8.8	9.6	-	-	-	10.0	7.5	7.1	6.3	7.1
Hull University Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust	8.3	7.2	9.7	-	-	-	10.0	8.1	7.6	6.0	7.1
Hywel Dda University Health Board - Acute Hospitals	6.0	5.2	9.0	-	-	-	7.5	6.9	7.7	6.1	7.4
Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust	7.7	5.7	9.6	5.1	-	-	10.0	6.3	7.3	5.8	6.7
Isle of Wight NHS Trust	10.0	10.0	10.0	-	6.0	6.7	10.0	10.0	7.8	7.2	8.0
James Paget University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	7.8	6.1	9.5	7.7	-	-	10.0	6.9	7.6	6.6	7.6
Kettering General Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	7.9	6.2	9.8	-	-	-	10.0	9.4	-	-	-
King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust - Denmark Hill	8.1	7.6	9.6	-	5.8	6.0	10.0	10.0	6.9	5.5	6.8
King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust - PRUH	7.7	6.6	9.6	-	4.9	5.6	10.0	10.0	7.4	6.1	6.9
Kingston Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	8.7	7.1	9.8	-	-	-	10.0	10.0	7.4	6.2	7.3
Lancashire Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Chorley and South Ribble District General Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	10.0	-	-	-
Lancashire Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Royal Preston	9.4	8.7	9.8	9.0	5.1	6.1	10.0	10.0	7.5	6.6	7.1
Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust	8.9	7.9	9.9	9.2	-	-	10.0	10.0	-	-	-
Lewisham and Greenwich NHS Trust - Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Woolwich	6.8	5.1	9.2	-	-	-	7.5	8.8	7.4	5.3	6.4
Lewisham and Greenwich NHS Trust - University Hospital Lewisham	8.9	8.2	9.4	8.7	-	-	7.5	8.8	7.3	5.9	6.7
Liverpool Heart and Chest NHS Foundation Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	9.4	7.2	6.2	7.7
Liverpool University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Aintree University Hospital	9.3	8.9	9.7	8.3	-	-	10.0	9.4	7.7	6.4	7.2
Liverpool University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospitals	7.7	7.3	9.2	7.2	-	-	10.0	10.0	7.6	6.4	7.4
London North West University Healthcare NHS Trust	9.1	8.0	9.9	8.8	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
Maidstone and Tunbridge Wells NHS Trust	8.5	6.4	9.7	-	-	-	10.0	7.5	7.2	5.9	6.8



Appendix 15: Hospital summary scores

Organisation and submission (Acute submissions)	CDP 7.9	CFO 7.0	IDM 9.5	IPC 7.7	NFO 5.6	EOC 6.5	G 9.7	W 8.1	SC 7.5	SS 6.4	CC 7.3
Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust - Manchester Royal Infirmary	8.3	6.7	-	-	-	-	10.0	10.0	-	-	-
Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust - North Manchester General Hospital	6.2	5.2	8.7	-	-	-	10.0	8.1	-	-	-
Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust - Trafford General Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	7.5	-	-	-
Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust - Wythenshawe Hospital	9.4	8.9	10.0	9.4	5.9	6.9	10.0	10.0	8.5	8.2	8.5
Medway NHS Foundation Trust	9.3	7.7	10.0	7.9	-	-	10.0	9.4	-	-	-
Mid and South Essex NHS Foundation Trust - Basildon and Thurrock University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	9.5	8.2	10.0	8.0	-	-	10.0	10.0	-	-	-
Mid and South Essex NHS Foundation Trust - Basildon Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	-	-	-	-
Mid and South Essex NHS Foundation Trust - Broomfield Hospital	8.0	7.3	9.6	8.3	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
Mid and South Essex NHS Foundation Trust - Southend Hospital	8.4	7.6	9.7	8.3	-	-	10.0	9.4	7.8	6.7	7.9
Mid Cheshire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	7.5	7.8	6.5	7.2
Mid Yorkshire Hospitals NHS Trust	-	7.5	9.7	-	-	-	10.0	6.9	7.8	7.1	7.5
Milton Keynes University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	9.2	7.9	10.0	8.5	-	-	10.0	10.0	-	-	-
Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	9.8	9.3	9.9	-	-	-	10.0	10.0	7.6	6.5	7.4
North Bristol NHS Trust	8.4	7.4	9.8	7.9	6.1	7.4	10.0	6.9	7.4	6.2	7.4
North Cumbria Integrated Care NHS Foundation Trust - Cumberland Infirmary	6.8	6.4	9.1	6.4	-	-	10.0	3.8	-	-	-
North Cumbria Integrated Care NHS Foundation Trust - West Cumberland Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	3.8	-	-	-
North Middlesex University Hospital NHS Trust	9.0	7.2	9.9	8.8	3.7	5.2	10.0	6.3	7.0	6.1	7.0
North Tees and Hartlepool NHS Foundation Trust	6.9	6.6	9.4	-	-	-	10.0	9.4	7.5	6.6	7.8
North West Anglia NHS Foundation Trust - Hinchingbrooke Hospital	-	-	9.8	-	-	-	10.0	10.0	-	-	-
North West Anglia NHS Foundation Trust - Peterborough City Hospital	-	-	9.9	-	-	-	10.0	9.4	7.8	6.4	7.4
Northampton General Hospital NHS Trust	9.4	8.1	9.9	9.1	-	-	10.0	9.4	7.7	6.6	7.2
Northern Devon Healthcare NHS Trust	8.8	7.5	9.9	8.5	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-

Appendix 15: Hospital summary scores

Organisation and submission (Acute submissions)	CDP	CFO	IDM	IPC	NFO	EOC	G	W	SC	SS	CC
	7.9	7.0	9.5	7.7	5.6	6.5	9.7	8.1	7.5	6.4	7.3
Northern Lincolnshire and Goole NHS Foundation Trust - Diana Princess of Wales Hospital	5.9	5.2	9.3	5.1	-	-	10.0	10.0	8.1	7.0	7.7
Northern Lincolnshire and Goole NHS Foundation Trust - Scunthorpe General Hospital	6.9	4.9	9.8	-	-	-	10.0	5.0	7.4	6.3	7.1
Northumbria Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust - Hexham	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	6.3	-	-	-
Northumbria Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust - NSECH	8.8	8.2	10.0	8.1	-	-	10.0	8.8	7.6	6.7	8.0
Northumbria Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust - NTGH	9.1	9.3	9.8	9.3	-	-	10.0	6.3	-	-	-
Northumbria Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust - WGH	9.4	9.0	10.0	9.1	-	-	10.0	6.3	-	-	-
Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust	8.4	6.8	10.0	7.9	-	-	10.0	10.0	7.5	6.8	7.3
Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	9.4	8.8	9.9	7.3	-	-	7.5	8.8	7.8	6.3	7.5
Pennine Acute Hospitals NHS Trust - Fairfield PAHNT	7.5	7.4	9.6	-	5.3	6.4	10.0	6.3	-	-	-
Pennine Acute Hospitals NHS Trust - Royal Oldham PAHNT	7.1	6.0	9.3	5.2	4.8	5.4	10.0	6.3	-	-	-
Portsmouth Hospitals University NHS Trust	7.9	6.5	9.6	8.2	5.0	6.2	10.0	6.3	-	-	-
Queen Victoria Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.5	7.5	-	-	-
Royal Berkshire NHS Foundation Trust	9.1	7.5	9.7	8.4	-	-	10.0	10.0	7.6	6.7	7.8
Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	6.9	7.3	5.8	7.4
Royal Cornwall Hospitals NHS Trust	7.7	7.3	9.5	-	5.1	6.0	7.5	9.4	7.4	5.9	6.7
Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Foundation Trust - ACUTE	5.6	6.2	9.7	-	5.7	6.9	7.5	9.4	-	-	-
Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust - Barnet Hospital	7.3	5.9	9.2	5.4	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust - Royal Free	7.3	6.4	9.3	5.9	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
Royal Papworth Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	9.4	-	-	-
Royal Surrey NHS Foundation Trust	8.5	7.9	9.8	9.3	5.6	6.3	10.0	10.0	7.3	6.7	7.7
Royal United Hospitals Bath NHS Foundation Trust	8.5	8.9	10.0	9.7	-	-	10.0	10.0	7.5	6.8	7.6
Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust	9.0	7.8	10.0	7.7	-	-	10.0	10.0	-	-	-
Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust	9.0	7.7	10.0	8.9	-	-	10.0	8.8	8.0	6.7	7.5
Sandwell and West Birmingham Hospitals NHS Trust - City Hospital	6.4	5.5	8.9	-	-	-	10.0	8.8	7.4	6.0	6.5
Sandwell and West Birmingham Hospitals NHS Trust - Sandwell Hospital	6.8	6.4	8.9	6.0	3.5	4.0	10.0	8.8	-	5.5	-



Appendix 15: Hospital summary scores

Organisation and submission (Acute submissions)	CDP 7.9	CFO 7.0	IDM 9.5	IPC 7.7	NFO 5.6	EOC 6.5	G 9.7	W 8.1	SC 7.5	SS 6.4	CC 7.3
Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	6.2	5.3	8.7	-	5.7	6.9	10.0	9.4	7.4	6.1	7.2
Sherwood Forest Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	8.1	7.1	9.6	8.3	-	-	10.0	10.0	8.0	7.3	7.9
Shrewsbury and Telford Hospital NHS Trust - Royal Shrewsbury Hospital	6.5	6.5	9.7	-	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
Shrewsbury and Telford Hospital NHS Trust - The Princess Royal Hospital	7.1	6.3	9.1	-	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
Somerset NHS Foundation Trust - SomersetFT (Acute)	8.3	8.1	9.5	8.2	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	5.4	-
South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - The Friarage Hospital Northallerton	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	6.3	-	-	-
South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - The James Cook university hospital	6.8	6.0	8.8	8.5	-	-	10.0	6.9	7.7	6.2	7.5
South Tyneside and Sunderland NHS Foundation Trust - South Tyneside District Hospital	8.8	8.8	9.8	9.1	-	-	10.0	7.5	-	-	-
South Tyneside and Sunderland NHS Foundation Trust - Sunderland Royal Hospital	8.1	8.5	9.8	9.4	-	-	10.0	7.5	-	-	-
South Warwickshire NHS Foundation Trust - Warwick Hospital	6.8	5.7	9.4	6.3	-	-	7.5	5.6	7.4	6.1	6.7
Southport and Ormskirk Hospital NHS Trust	8.7	6.5	9.7	7.0	-	-	10.0	10.0	8.2	7.1	7.4
St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	8.8	6.2	9.9	6.7	-	-	10.0	10.0	-	-	-
St Helens and Knowsley Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust	-	-	9.6	-	5.9	6.6	10.0	9.4	7.9	6.8	7.8
Stockport NHS Foundation Trust	8.2	6.9	8.7	-	-	-	10.0	10.0	7.9	6.6	7.4
Surrey and Sussex Healthcare NHS Trust	7.9	7.5	9.6	-	-	-	10.0	10.0	7.4	6.4	7.2
Swansea Bay University Health Board - Acute	5.5	4.6	9.1	-	-	-	10.0	8.8	-	-	-
Tameside and Glossop Integrated Care NHS Foundation Trust - Acute	-	-	-	-	4.2	5.6	-	5.6	-	-	-
The Christie NHS Foundation Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	9.4	8.0	7.0	7.9
The Clatterbridge Cancer Centre NHS Foundation Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	10.0	8.0	6.9	8.0
The Dudley Group NHS Foundation Trust	7.5	6.2	9.6	-	-	-	10.0	8.1	7.2	6.1	6.9
The Hillingdon Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	9.6	9.6	10.0	-	-	-	10.0	7.5	-	-	-
The Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	9.1	8.2	9.8	8.6	5.9	6.5	10.0	10.0	7.7	6.7	7.9
The Princess Alexandra Hospital NHS Trust	8.5	6.7	9.7	-	-	-	10.0	4.4	7.2	5.6	6.4
The Queen Elizabeth Hospital, King's Lynn. NHS Foundation Trust	8.3	6.9	9.3	-	5.1	6.1	10.0	6.9	-	-	-

Appendix 15: Hospital summary scores

Organisation and submission (Acute submissions)	CDP 7.9	CFO 7.0	IDM 9.5	IPC 7.7	NFO 5.6	EOC 6.5	G 9.7	W 8.1	SC 7.5	SS 6.4	CC 7.3
The Rotherham NHS Foundation Trust	5.8	5.7	8.9	-	-	-	10.0	8.8	-	-	-
The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	10.0	7.9	6.7	7.7
The Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust	-	-	10.0	-	-	-	10.0	8.8	7.5	6.7	7.4
The Walton Centre NHS Foundation Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	9.4	-	-	-
Torbay and South Devon NHS Foundation Trust - Acute Hospital	8.2	6.8	9.8	-	-	-	10.0	6.9	7.3	6.3	7.6
United Lincolnshire Hospitals NHS Trust - Lincoln County Hospital	8.1	6.1	9.5	7.1	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
United Lincolnshire Hospitals NHS Trust - Pilgrim Hospital	7.4	6.8	8.9	-	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	8.8	7.3	9.8	7.4	-	-	10.0	9.4	8.3	7.1	7.8
University Hospital of Derby and Burton NHS Foundation Trust - Burton Campus	8.9	6.0	9.8	8.5	-	-	10.0	9.4	7.5	6.8	7.3
University Hospital of Derby and Burton NHS Foundation Trust - Derby Campus	8.3	7.1	9.5	8.7	-	-	10.0	9.4	8.0	7.1	8.1
University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust	8.5	8.0	9.4	7.9	-	-	10.0	10.0	7.3	6.1	7.3
University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust - Good Hope Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	8.8	-	-	-
University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust - Heartlands Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	8.8	-	-	-
University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust - Queen Elizabeth Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	8.8	-	-	-
University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust - Solihull Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	8.1	-	-	-
University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust - Bristol	7.9	7.3	9.7	-	-	-	10.0	6.3	7.3	6.1	7.3
University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust - Weston	7.9	6.2	9.9	6.8	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust	4.4	5.0	8.3	6.5	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
University Hospitals Dorset NHS Foundation Trust - Poole Hospital	9.0	7.6	9.7	8.8	-	-	10.0	10.0	7.7	6.7	7.7
University Hospitals Dorset NHS Foundation Trust - The Royal Bournemouth and Christchurch Hospitals	8.1	5.7	8.9	7.5	6.0	6.9	10.0	10.0	7.8	6.6	7.6
University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust - Glenfield Hospital	5.6	5.5	-	-	-	-	10.0	5.6	7.7	5.9	7.5



Appendix 15: Hospital summary scores

Organisation and submission (Acute submissions)	CDP	CFO	IDM	IPC	NFO	EOC	G	W	SC	SS	CC
	7.9	7.0	9.5	7.7	5.6	6.5	9.7	8.1	7.5	6.4	7.3
University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust - Leicester General Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	5.6	-	-	-
University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust - Leicester Royal Infirmary	7.2	5.8	8.8	5.6	-	-	10.0	5.6	7.2	5.8	6.9
University Hospitals of Morecambe Bay NHS Foundation Trust - Furness General Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	6.3	7.4	6.0	7.2
University Hospitals of Morecambe Bay NHS Foundation Trust - Royal Lancaster Infirmary	6.0	5.3	8.9	-	-	-	10.0	6.3	-	-	-
University Hospitals of North Midlands NHS Trust	8.2	7.2	9.6	8.3	5.7	6.4	10.0	10.0	7.0	6.2	7.2
University Hospitals Plymouth NHS Trust - Derriford	7.8	7.2	9.7	8.8	-	-	10.0	9.4	7.8	6.3	7.4
Walsall Healthcare NHS Trust	-	-	8.7	-	4.5	5.1	10.0	8.8	7.2	6.1	6.6
Warrington and Halton Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.2	6.6	7.3
West Hertfordshire Hospitals NHS Trust	9.3	8.0	9.9	8.5	4.1	4.9	10.0	10.0	-	-	-
West Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust - Acute	8.3	6.8	9.7	7.8	5.3	6.7	10.0	6.9	7.1	6.0	6.7
Western Sussex Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	8.8	-	-	-
Whittington Health NHS Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	3.1	7.2	5.3	6.9
Wirral University Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	8.4	6.3	9.7	7.7	6.2	7.0	10.0	10.0	7.8	6.6	7.8
Worcestershire Acute Hospitals NHS Trust	7.9	5.8	9.6	7.8	-	-	10.0	8.8	7.6	6.4	7.2
Wrightington, Wigan and Leigh NHS Foundation Trust - North West	8.3	6.6	9.3	7.0	-	-	10.0	9.4	7.6	6.9	7.5
Wye Valley NHS Trust	7.7	5.5	9.7	7.4	-	-	10.0	6.3	7.4	5.7	6.7
Yeovil District Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	8.2	6.6	10.0	6.5	6.3	7.0	10.0	9.4	7.7	7.1	7.7
York and Scarborough Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Scarborough Hospital	7.5	5.7	9.8	-	6.1	6.8	10.0	10.0	-	-	-
York and Scarborough Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - York Hospital	5.0	5.9	8.8	6.8	5.6	6.8	10.0	10.0	-	-	-



Appendix 15: Hospital summary scores

Organisation and submission (Community submissions)	CDP 7.9	CFO 7.0	IDM 9.5	IPC 7.7	NFO 5.6	EOC 6.5	G 9.7	W 8.1	SC 7.5	SS 6.4	CC 7.3
Aneurin Bevan University Health Board - ABUHB Comm	3.5	4.2	8.8	3.8	-	-	10.0	-	7.5	5.9	6.9
Anglian Community Enterprise CIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	5.6	-	-	-
Berkshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust - Community Health Inpatients	9.4	9.1	9.8	9.2	-	-	7.5	10.0	-	-	-
Betsi Cadwaladr University Health Board - Community Hospitals	5.3	4.8	8.3	4.7	-	-	10.0	8.8	-	-	-
Birmingham Community Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust	8.7	7.4	9.5	8.6	-	-	10.0	5.0	-	-	-
Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - St Luke's Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	6.3	-	-	-
Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Westbourne Green Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	6.3	-	-	-
Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Westwood Park Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	6.3	-	-	-
Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust - CPFT: Community Hospitals	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust - CPFT: End of Life Care Units	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
Central and North West London NHS Foundation Trust - CNWL HICU Woodlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.5	6.3	-	-	-
Central and North West London NHS Foundation Trust - CNWL St Pancras	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.5	6.3	-	-	-
Central and North West London NHS Foundation Trust - CNWL WICU	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.5	8.8	-	-	-
City Health Care Partnership CIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.5	7.5	-	-	-
Cornwall Partnership NHS Foundation Trust - Community	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
County Durham and Darlington NHS Foundation Trust - Community Hospitals	7.5	6.9	9.4	9.1	-	-	10.0	10.0	-	-	-
Cwm Taf Morgannwg University Local Health Board - Community	6.3	5.6	9.0	6.3	-	-	10.0	6.3	-	-	-
Derbyshire Community Health Services NHS Foundation Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	9.4	7.4	6.2	7.9
Dorset HealthCare University NHS Foundation Trust - Community	9.7	9.3	9.9	9.2	-	-	10.0	-	-	-	-
East Lancashire Hospitals NHS Trust - Burnley General Teaching Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	5.6	-	-	-
East London NHS Foundation Trust - Community	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
East Suffolk and North Essex NHS Foundation Trust - Community	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	9.4	-	-	-



Appendix 15: Hospital summary scores

Organisation and submission (Community submissions)	CDP	CFO	IDM	IPC	NFO	EOC	G	W	SC	SS	CC
	7.9	7.0	9.5	7.7	5.6	6.5	9.7	8.1	7.5	6.4	7.3
Essex Partnership University NHS Foundation Trust - CHS	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	9.4	-	-	-
First Community Health and Care	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
Gloucestershire Health and Care NHS Foundation Trust - Community	7.5	7.2	9.4	9.2	-	-	10.0	8.8	-	-	-
Great Western Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - SWICC	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	7.5	-	-	-
Herefordshire and Worcestershire Health and Care NHS Trust - Community	6.7	6.5	9.1	7.6	-	-	10.0	8.1	-	-	-
Hertfordshire Community NHS Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	9.4	-	-	-
Hounslow and Richmond Community Healthcare NHS Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	7.5	-	-	-
Hywel Dda University Health Board - HDUHB - Community Hospitals	5.6	5.6	9.1	-	-	-	7.5	6.9	-	-	-
Kent Community Health NHS Foundation Trust - EAST	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	10.0	-	-	-
Kent Community Health NHS Foundation Trust - WEST	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	-	-	-	-
Lancashire & South Cumbria NHS Foundation Trust - Community	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	7.5	-	-	-
Leicestershire Partnership NHS Trust	4.9	6.1	9.5	7.6	-	-	10.0	8.8	-	-	-
Lincolnshire Community Health Services NHS Trust	6.5	6.5	9.7	9.3	-	-	10.0	8.8	-	-	-
Livewell Southwest - Physical Health Wards	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	8.8	-	-	-
Midlands Partnership NHS Foundation Trust - Community Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	9.4	7.2	6.3	7.6
North Cumbria Integrated Care NHS Foundation Trust - Community Hospitals	6.2	5.8	9.2	7.7	-	-	10.0	3.8	-	-	-
Northamptonshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust - Community Beds	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	10.0	-	7.0	8.3
Northumbria Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust - Community Hospitals	9.3	-	9.7	-	-	-	10.0	6.3	-	-	-
Nottinghamshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust - CHS	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	6.3	-	-	-
Oxford Health NHS Foundation Trust - Community	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	10.0	7.1	5.5	7.7
Oxleas NHS Foundation Trust - Community	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	-	-	-
Pennine Acute Hospitals NHS Trust - Oldham Intermediate Care PAHNT	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	2.5	-	-	-



Appendix 15: Hospital summary scores

Organisation and submission (Community submissions)	CDP	CFO	IDM	IPC	NFO	EOC	G	W	SC	SS	CC
	7.9	7.0	9.5	7.7	5.6	6.5	9.7	8.1	7.5	6.4	7.3
Pennine Acute Hospitals NHS Trust - Rochdale Infirmary; PAHNT	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	6.3	-	-	-
Powys Local Health Board	5.8	6.8	9.0	8.2	-	-	10.0	5.6	-	-	-
Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Foundation Trust - COMMUNITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.5	-	-	-	-
Shropshire Community Health NHS Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	6.3	-	-	-
Solent NHS Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	-	-	-	-
Somerset NHS Foundation Trust - SomersetFT (Community)	8.4	8.7	9.6	9.1	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - H&R Primary Care hospitals	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - MR&C Primary care hospitals	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
South West Yorkshire Partnership NHS Foundation Trust - Community	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.5	8.1	-	-	-
Southern Health NHS Foundation Trust - Community	8.1	7.5	9.8	8.9	-	-	10.0	3.1	-	-	-
Tameside and Glossop Integrated Care NHS Foundation Trust - Community	7.7	6.2	9.4	8.1	-	-	-	6.3	-	-	-
Torbay and South Devon NHS Foundation Trust - Community Hospitals	8.0	6.4	9.8	9.0	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
University Hospitals of Morecambe Bay NHS Foundation Trust - Community Hospitals	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	6.3	-	-	-
Velindre NHS Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.5	9.4	-	-	-
West Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust - Community	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	6.9	-	-	-
Wiltshire Health and Care	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.5	6.9	-	-	-
York and Scarborough Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Easingwold Community Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	10.0	-	-	-
York and Scarborough Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Selby War Memorial Hospital	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	10.0	-	-	-



Appendix 16: Method for scoring

A scoring system was devised in round one of NACEL to summarise the audit under nine key themes. A similar summary score methodology was adopted for rounds two and three of NACEL. For England and Wales in round three, NACEL is reporting on twelve themes, as the 'Involvement in decision making theme' has been brought back into round three, and three new themes have been derived from the Staff Reported Measure.

This appendix sets out the component indicators of eleven key themes and an explanation of how the summary scores are calculated. As in previous rounds the theme 'recognition of dying' does not receive a summary score.

The NACEL key themes for round three were developed by the NACEL Steering Group and were discussed with the wider NACEL Advisory Group. The themes are based on the *Five priorities for care*:

1. **Recognising the possibility of imminent death**
2. **Communication with the dying person (CNR)**
3. **Communication with families and others (CNR)**
4. **Involvement in decision making (CNR)**
5. **Individualised plan of care (CNR)**
6. **Needs of families and others (QS)**
7. **Families' and others' experience of care (QS)**
8. **Governance (T/HB)**
9. **Workforce/Specialist Palliative Care (H/S)**
10. **Staff confidence (SRM)**
11. **Staff support (SRM)**
12. **Care and culture (SRM)**

As in previous rounds, only indicators from one element of the audit (either The Trust/HB overview, the Hospital/Site overview, the Case Note Review, the Quality Survey or the Staff Reported Measure) are utilised for each theme. At least four indicators were used for each summary score, to provide granularity in the results.



Appendix 16: Method for scoring

The component indicators and scoring for each theme are as follows:

Key theme	Source	Component indicators
Recognising the possibility of imminent death (RD)	Case note review	No summary score.
Communication with the dying person (CDP)	Case note review	5 questions on discussions with the dying person on plan of care, the possibility that the patient may die, side effects of medication (including drowsiness), hydration and nutrition.
Communication with families and others (CFO)	Case note review	6 questions on discussions with the nominated person on plan of care, notification of possible and imminent death, side effects of medication, hydration and nutrition.
Involvement in decision making (IDM)	Case note review	6 questions on discussions regarding how much the patient wished to be involved in decision making and how involved the patient was in decision making.
Individual plan of care (IPC)	Case note review	25 questions on having a care plan that was reviewed regularly, assessment of 14 needs, the benefit of starting, stopping or continuing 6 interventions, review of hydration and nutrition status and preferred place of death.
Needs of families and others (NFO)	Quality Survey	5 questions covering families and others needs, emotional, practical, spiritual, religious and cultural support and being informed about the patient's condition and treatment.
Families' and others' experience of care (EOC)	Quality Survey	4 questions on how families and others would rate the care and support given and communication.
Governance (G)	Trust/HB overview	4 questions on policy/guidance regarding how it responds to deaths of patients, requests for rapid discharge, board accountability and advanced care planning.
Workforce/specialist palliative care (W)	Hospital/site overview	7 questions on specialist palliative care access, seven day availability and training.
Staff Confidence (SC)	Staff Reported Measure	11 questions regarding staff confidence in delivering end of life care.
Staff Support (SS)	Staff Reported Measure	5 questions regarding the support and training provided to staff from the hospital/site.
Care and Culture (CC)	Staff Reported Measure	6 questions on the environment in which staff deliver end of life care.



Appendix 16: Method for scoring

5.2 Communication with the dying person (Source: Case Note Review)

Section	Question	Scoring		
		Yes	No but reason recorded and/or N/A	No and no reason recorded
Recognising the possibility of imminent death	Is there documented evidence that the possibility that the patient may die had been discussed with the patient?	1	1	0
Individualised end of life care planning - The patient	Is there documented evidence that the patient was involved in discussing the individualised plan of care?	1	1	0
Individualised end of life care planning - Symptom management	Is there documented evidence that the possibility of drowsiness, if likely, as a result of prescribed medications, was discussed with the patient?	1	1	0
Individualised end of life care planning - Drinking and assisted hydration	Is there documented evidence that a discussion about the risks and benefits of hydration options was undertaken with the patient once the dying phase was recognised?	1	1	0
Individualised end of life care planning - Eating and assisted nutrition	Is there documented evidence that a discussion about the risks and benefits of nutrition options was undertaken with the patient once the dying phase was recognised?	1	1	0
Maximum possible score:		5		

5.3 Communication with families and others (Source: Case Note Review)

Section	Question	Scoring		
		Yes	No but reason recorded and/or N/A	No and no reason recorded
Recognising the possibility of imminent death	Is there documented evidence that the possibility that the patient may die had been discussed with the nominated person(s)?	1	1	0
Recognising the possibility of imminent death	Is there documented evidence that the nominated person(s) was involved in discussing an individualised plan of care for the patient?	1	1	0
Recognising the possibility of imminent death	Is there documented evidence that the nominated person(s) were notified that the patient was about to die?	1	1	0
Individualised end of life care planning - Symptom management	Is there documented evidence that the possibility of drowsiness, if likely, as a result of prescribed medications, was discussed with the nominated person(s)?	0.5	0.5	0
Individualised end of life care planning - Drinking and assisted hydration	Is there documented evidence that a discussion about the risks and benefits of hydration options was undertaken with the nominated person(s)?	1	1	0
Individualised end of life care planning - Eating and assisted nutrition	Is there documented evidence that a discussion about the risks and benefits of nutrition options was undertaken with the nominated person(s)?	0.5	0.5	0
Maximum possible score:		5		



Appendix 16: Method for scoring

5.4 Involvement in decision making (Source: Case Note Review)				
Section	Question	Scoring		
		Yes	No but reason recorded and/or N/A	No and no reason recorded
Involvement in decision making	Is there documented evidence about the extent to which the patient wished to be involved in decisions about their care?	1	1	0
Involvement in decision making	Is there documented evidence in the notes that the dying person had their capacity assessed to be involved in their end of life care planning?	1	1	0
Involvement in decision making	Is there documented evidence within the final admission of a discussion with the patient by a senior clinician regarding whether to continue or stop life-sustaining treatment offering organ support such as assisted ventilation, implanted defibrillator, renal dialysis?	1	1	0
Involvement in decision making	Is there documented evidence within the final admission of a discussion with the nominated person by a senior clinician regarding whether to continue or stop life-sustaining treatment offering organ support such as assisted ventilation, implanted defibrillator, renal dialysis?	1	1	0
Involvement in decision making	Is there documented evidence that a discussion with the patient regarding Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation (CPR) was undertaken by a senior clinician?	1	1	0
Involvement in decision making	Is there documented evidence that the Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation (CPR) decision was discussed with the nominated person(s) by a senior clinician ?	1	1	0
Maximum possible score		6		



Appendix 16: Method for scoring

5.5 Individualised plan of care (Source: Case Note Review)

Section	Question	Scoring		
		Yes	No but reason recorded and/or N/A	No and no reason recorded
Individualised end of life care planning - Advance care planning	Was there documented evidence of the preferred place of death as indicated by the patient?	1	-	0
Individualised end of life care planning - The patient	Is there documented evidence that the patient who was dying had an individualised plan of care addressing their end of life care needs?	0.5	-	0
Individualised end of life care planning - The patient	Is there documented evidence that the patient and their individualised plan of care were reviewed regularly?	0.5	0.5	0
Individualised end of life care planning - The patient	Is there documented evidence of an assessment of the following needs:			
	agitation/delirium	0.25	0.25	0
	dyspnoea/breathing difficulty	0.25	0.25	0
	nausea/vomiting	0.25	0.25	0
	pain	0.25	0.25	0
	noisy breathing/death rattle	0.25	0.25	0
	bladder function	0.25	0.25	0
	bowel function	0.25	0.25	0
	pressure areas	0.25	0.25	0
	hygiene requirements	0.25	0.25	0
	mouth care	0.25	0.25	0
	anxiety/distress	0.25	0.25	0
	emotional/psychological needs	0.25	0.25	0
spiritual/religious/cultural needs	0.25	0.25	0	
social/practical needs	0.25	0.25	0	
Individualised end of life care planning - The patient	Was the benefit of starting, stopping or continuing the following interventions documented as being reviewed in the patient's plan of care?			
	routine recording of vital signs	0.25	0.25	0
	blood sugar monitoring	0.25	0.25	0
	the administration of oxygen	0.25	0.25	0
	the administration of antibiotics	0.25	0.25	0
	routine blood tests	0.25	0.25	0
other medication	0.25	0.25	0	
Individualised end of life care planning - Drinking and assisted hydration	Is there documented evidence that the patient's hydration status was assessed daily once the dying phase was recognised?	1	-	0
Individualised end of life care planning - Eating and assisted nutrition	Is there documented evidence that the patient's nutrition status was reviewed regularly once the dying phase was recognised?	1	-	0
Maximum possible score:		9		



Appendix 16: Method for scoring

5.6 Needs of families and others (Source: Quality Survey)

Section	Question	Scoring					
		Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	N/A/Not sure
Section 3 - Care you and other relatives received	I was asked about my needs	4	3	2	1	0	0
Section 3 - Care you and other relatives received	I was given enough emotional help and support by staff	4	3	2	1	0	0
Section 3 - Care you and other relatives received	I was given enough practical support (for example with finding refreshments and parking arrangements)	4	3	2	1	0	0
Section 3 - Care you and other relatives received	I was given enough spiritual/religious/cultural support	4	3	2	1	0	0
Section 3 - Care you and other relatives received	I was kept well informed and had enough opportunity to discuss their condition and treatment with staff	4	3	2	1	0	0
Maximum possible score:		20					

5.7 Experience of care (Source: Quality Survey)

Section	Question	Scoring					
		Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	N/A / Not sure
Section 2 - Care provided to the person who died	I felt that staff looking after the person communicated sensitively with them	4	3	2	1	0	0
Section 3 - Care you and other relatives received	I was communicated to by staff in a sensitive way	4	3	2	1	0	0
		Outstanding	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Not sure
Section 4 – Overall experience of care	Overall, how would you rate the care and support given by the hospital to the person who died during the final admission?	4	3	2	1	0	0
Section 4 – Overall experience of care	Overall, how would you rate the care and support given by the hospital to you and other close relatives or friends during the person's final admission in hospital?	4	3	2	1	0	0
Maximum possible score:		16					



Appendix 16: Method for scoring

5.8 Governance (Source: Trust/HB Overview)			
Section	Question	Scoring	
		Yes	No
Trust/HB overview	Does your Trust/HB have an identified member of the Trust/HB board with accountability for end of life care?	1	0
Trust/HB overview	Does your Trust/HB have policies/guidance in place which include guidelines for: How it responds to and learns from, deaths of patients who die under its management and care?	1	0
Trust/HB overview	Which of the following are used within your Trust/HB: Specific care arrangements to enable rapid discharge home to die, if this is the person's preference?	1	0
Trust/HB overview	Which of the following are used within your Trust/HB: A care plan to support the Five Priorities for Care of the Dying Person?	1	0
Maximum possible score:		4	

5.9 Workforce (Source: Hospital/Site Overview)			
Section	Question	Scoring	
		Yes	No
Specialist palliative care workforce	Does your hospital have access to a Specialist Palliative Care service?	1	1
		Yes	No
Specialist palliative care workforce	Is the face to face specialist palliative care service (doctor and/or nurse) available 8 hours a day, 7 days a week?	1	0
Specialist palliative care workforce	Is the telephone specialist palliative care service (doctor and/or nurse) available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week?	1	0
Staff training for all hospital/site staff	In the period between 1st April 2020 and 31st March 2021 was the following available:		
	End of life care training included in induction Programme	0.25	0
	End of life care training included in Mandatory/Priority training	0.25	0
	Communication skills training specifically addressing end of life care	0.25	0
	Other training in relation to end of life care	0.25	0
Maximum possible score		4	



Appendix 16: Method for scoring

6.1 Staff Confidence (Source: Staff Reported Measure)

Section	Question	Scoring					
		Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	N/A/Not sure
Questions about you	I am confident I can recognise when a patient might be dying imminently (within hours to days)	4	3	2	1	0	2
Questions about you	I feel confident in my skills to communicate clearly and sensitively to dying patients and those important to them	4	3	2	1	0	2
Questions about you	I am confident I have the skills to involve the dying patient and those important to them in decisions about end of life care in line with their wishes and preferences	4	3	2	1	0	2
Questions about you	I know how to access specialist palliative care advice, if required, when addressing specific end of life care needs for dying patients	4	3	2	1	0	2
Questions about you	I know how to respond to requests to die outside of the hospital setting from dying people and/or those important to them	4	3	2	1	0	2
Questions about you	I feel confident to respond to the practical and social needs of the dying person	4	3	2	1	0	2
Questions about you	I feel confident to respond to the spiritual, emotional and cultural needs of the dying person	4	3	2	1	0	2
Questions about you	I am confident in my ability to discuss hydration options with dying patients and those important to them	4	3	2	1	0	2
Questions about you	I am confident in assessing and managing patient pain and physical symptoms at the end of life	4	3	2	1	0	2
Questions about you	I feel confident to respond to the practical and social needs of those important to the dying person	4	3	2	1	0	2
Questions about you	I feel confident to respond to the spiritual, emotional and cultural needs of those important to the dying person	4	3	2	1	0	2
Maximum possible score		44					



Appendix 16: Method for scoring

6.2 Staff Support (Source: Staff Reported Measure)							
Section	Question	Scoring					
		Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	N/A/Not sure
Work during the COVID-19 pandemic	I received appropriate and responsive training to deliver end of life care during the COVID-19 pandemic	4	3	2	1	0	2
Work during the COVID-19 pandemic	I felt supported to deliver end of life care during the COVID-19 pandemic	4	3	2	1	0	2
Questions about you	I feel supported by the specialist palliative care team that the hospital has access to, when addressing specific end of life care needs for dying patients	4	3	2	1	0	2
Questions about you	I have completed training specific to end of life care within the last three years	4	3	2	1	0	2
Clinical area/area you work in	Managerial support is available to help provide care at the end of life	4	3	2	1	0	2
Maximum possible score		20					

6.3 Care and Culture (Source: Staff Reported Measure)							
Section	Question	Scoring					
		Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	N/A/Not sure
Questions about you	I feel able to raise a concern about end of life care within my hospital if I needed to	4	3	2	1	0	2
Clinical area/area you work in	Staff work in partnership with the dying person and those important to them in planning and making decisions about their health, treatment and end of life care	4	3	2	1	0	2
Clinical area/area you work in	Priority is given to the provision of an appropriate peaceful environment, that maximises privacy, for dying people and those important to them	4	3	2	1	0	2
Clinical area/area you work in	Staff actively share information with each other about the individuals' end of life care needs	4	3	2	1	0	2
Clinical area/area you work in	Deaths are actively reviewed, and action plans are implemented to improve end of life care	4	3	2	1	0	2
Clinical area/area you work in	We have a culture that priorities care, compassion, respect and dignity as fundamental in all interactions with dying patients and those important to them	4	3	2	1	0	2
Maximum possible score		24					



Appendix 17: Patient demographics

Age profile	All deaths	Category 1	Category 2
18-64	12.22%	12.45%	10.67%
65-74	17.52%	17.37%	18.50%
75-84	31.19%	31.40%	29.74%
85-94	33.36%	33.04%	35.53%
95+	5.72%	5.74%	5.56%
Number of responses	6,817	5,936	881

Age	All deaths	Category 1	Category 2
Range	19 – 106	19 – 106	22 – 102
Mean	79	79	80
Median	81	81	82
Number of responses	6,817	5,936	881

Usual place of residency	All deaths	Category 1	Category 2
Home	85.37%	85.14%	86.95%
Residential home	6.44%	6.59%	5.45%
Nursing home	6.88%	6.94%	6.47%
Prison	0.06%	0.07%	0.00%
No fixed abode	0.06%	0.07%	0.00%
NHS other hospital provider	0.44%	0.45%	0.34%
Other	0.75%	0.74%	0.79%
Number of responses	6,817	5,936	881

Gender profile	All deaths	Category 1	Category 2
Male	52.14%	51.60%	55.78%
Female	47.85%	48.38%	44.22%
Other	0.01%	0.02%	0.00%
Number of responses	6,822	5,940	882

Ethnicity profile	All deaths	Category 1	Category 2
White	81.1%	81.0%	81.9%
Mixed	0.38%	0.42%	0.12%
Asian or Asian British	2.78%	2.77%	2.88%
Black or Black British	1.35%	1.36%	1.27%
Other Ethnic Groups	0.93%	0.99%	0.58%
Not stated	13.5%	13.5%	13.2%
Number of responses	6,754	5,885	869



Appendix 18: Characteristics of deaths in hospitals

Primary cause of death	All deaths	Category 1	Category 2
Cancer	17.80%	18.95%	10.10%
Chronic respiratory disease	3.83%	3.75%	4.31%
COVID-19	1.75%	1.76%	1.70%
Dementia	1.91%	1.99%	1.36%
Heart failure	9.99%	9.20%	15.32%
Neurological conditions	0.72%	0.73%	0.68%
Pneumonia	20.57%	20.66%	19.98%
Renal failure	1.88%	2.03%	0.91%
Stroke	6.30%	6.64%	3.97%
Other	27.64%	27.15%	30.99%
No access to death certificate	7.61%	7.15%	10.67%
Number of responses	6,797	5,916	881

Day of death	All deaths	Category 1	Category 2
Monday	16.37%	16.51%	15.40%
Tuesday	15.48%	15.29%	16.78%
Wednesday	15.10%	15.17%	14.60%
Thursday	13.28%	13.18%	13.91%
Friday	12.17%	12.49%	10.00%
Saturday	14.94%	14.70%	16.55%
Sunday	12.66%	12.65%	12.76%
Number of responses	6,801	5,931	870

Time of death	All deaths	Category 1	Category 2
00:00 – 06:00	24.38%	24.09%	26.42%
06:01 – 12:00	25.51%	25.10%	28.27%
12:01 – 18:00	26.97%	27.28%	24.80%
18:01 – 23:59	23.14%	23.53%	20.51%
Number of responses	6,775	5,912	863

Length of stay profile	All deaths	Category 1	Category 2
0 – 1 days	14.76%	13.82%	21.24%
2 – 10 days	42.59%	41.96%	46.91%
11 – 20 days	22.40%	23.08%	17.74%
21 – 30 days	9.88%	10.11%	8.28%
31 – 40 days	4.95%	5.30%	2.57%
41 – 50 days	2.08%	2.18%	1.40%
51 – 60 days	1.20%	1.31%	0.47%
61 – 70 days	0.70%	0.70%	0.70%
71 – 80 days	0.46%	0.49%	0.23%
81 – 90 days	0.33%	0.37%	0.00%
90+	0.65%	0.68%	0.47%
Number of responses	6,741	5,884	857



Appendix 19: Supplementary Quality Survey information

Nominated person's relationship to the patient	All deaths
Wife/Husband/Partner	35.11%
Son/Daughter	39.65%
Son in-law/Daughter-in-law	1.87%
Brother/Sister	4.35%
Parent	13.04%
Friend	1.54%
Other	4.44%
Number of responses	3,107

Length of time the patient had been hospital before they died	All deaths
Less than 8 hours	1.77%
More than 8 hours but less than 24 hours	6.38%
One day or more but less than a week	33.12%
One week or more but less than a month	46.75%
One month or more	11.98%
Number of responses	3,104

Number of times the person had been in hospital in the 12 months before their final admission	All deaths
None	43.89%
One	18.95%
Two	13.55%
Three or more	19.85%
Not sure	3.76%
Number of responses	3,108

Place of death within the hospital	All deaths
In a bay shared with other patients	29.17%
In a side room	61.24%
Other	4.60%
Don't Know	4.99%
Number of responses	3,106

Ethnicity profile	All deaths
White	97.10%
Mixed	0.26%
Asian or Asian British	1.42%
Black or Black British	0.58%
Other Ethnic Groups	0.16%
Prefer not to say	0.48%
Number of responses	3,105



Appendix 19: Supplementary Quality Survey information

A member of staff explained to the person that they were likely to die in the next few days	All deaths
Yes	35.51%
No, could have been told	5.59%
No, died too suddenly/unexpectedly	9.90%
No, too unwell, unconscious or unable to understand	26.09%
No, person did not want to know	0.96%
No, other	4.85%
Don't know	17.10%
Number of responses	3,112

A member of staff explained to families and others that the person was likely to die in the next few days	All deaths
Yes, clearly	64.16%
Yes, but not clearly	8.36%
Yes, but only when asked	3.94%
No, but could have been told	9.65%
No, died suddenly/unexpectedly	12.01%
Not sure	1.87%
Number of responses	3,097

The person had care for emotional needs met by staff	All deaths
Strongly agree	18.39%
Agree	19.78%
Neither agree nor disagree	11.09%
Disagree	6.85%
Strongly disagree	7.34%
Not applicable/not sure	36.55%
Number of responses	3,094

The person was given sufficient pain relief	All deaths
Strongly agree	37.29%
Agree	30.11%
Neither agree nor disagree	8.05%
Disagree	4.56%
Strongly disagree	4.78%
Not applicable/not sure	15.22%
Number of responses	3,095

The person was given sufficient relief of symptoms other than pain	All deaths
Strongly agree	32.38%
Agree	32.84%
Neither agree nor disagree	7.75%
Disagree	6.29%
Strongly disagree	5.22%
Not applicable/not sure	15.53%
Number of responses	3,085



Appendix 19: Supplementary Quality Survey information

The person had support to drink or receive hydration if they wished	All deaths
Strongly agree	22.79%
Agree	30.96%
Neither agree nor disagree	6.92%
Disagree	6.50%
Strongly disagree	6.14%
Not applicable/not sure	26.70%
Number of responses	3,094

The person had support to eat or receive nutrition if they wished	All deaths
Strongly agree	20.21%
Agree	27.38%
Neither agree nor disagree	7.92%
Disagree	5.98%
Strongly disagree	6.63%
Not applicable/not sure	31.88%
Number of responses	3,093

The person had an advance care plan prior to their last admission	All deaths
Yes	30.25%
No	61.28%
Don't know	8.47%
Number of responses	3,104

Staff made a plan for the person's care which took account of their individual requirements and wishes	All deaths
Strongly agree	30.75%
Agree	26.47%
Neither agree nor disagree	10.12%
Disagree	6.81%
Strongly disagree	7.53%
Not applicable/not sure	18.33%
Number of responses	3,083

Staff at the hospital involved the person in decision about care and treatment as much as they wanted to be	All deaths
Involved as much as they wanted to be	31.97%
Would have liked to be more involved	5.94%
Would have liked to be less involved	0.26%
Not able to be involved	44.71%
Not sure	17.13%
Number of responses	3,100



Appendix 19: Supplementary Quality Survey information

Staff looking after the person had the skills to care for someone at the end of life	All deaths
Strongly agree	49.17%
Agree	25.68%
Neither agree nor disagree	7.84%
Disagree	5.90%
Strongly disagree	5.58%
Not applicable/not sure	5.29%
Number of responses	3,100

There was good coordination between different members of staff	All deaths
Strongly agree	34.18%
Agree	28.63%
Neither agree nor disagree	8.65%
Disagree	10.59%
Strongly disagree	9.49%
Not applicable/not sure	8.46%
Number of responses	3,098

Families and others felt supported by hospital staff after the person had died	All deaths
Strongly agree	33.04%
Agree	32.23%
Neither agree nor disagree	11.29%
Disagree	9.19%
Strongly disagree	8.51%
Not applicable/not sure	5.73%
Number of responses	3,090

The person had a suitable environment with adequate peace and privacy	All deaths
Strongly agree	37.05%
Agree	30.96%
Neither agree nor disagree	7.93%
Disagree	8.81%
Strongly disagree	9.16%
Not applicable/not sure	6.09%
Number of responses	3,088

The location within the hospital where the person died was appropriate	All deaths
Strongly agree	41.85%
Agree	30.66%
Neither agree nor disagree	7.13%
Disagree	6.87%
Strongly disagree	9.01%
Not applicable/not sure	4.47%
Number of responses	3,085



Appendix 20: Staff Reported Measure demographics

Gender profile	
Male	17.16%
Female	82.62%
Other	0.22%
Number of responses	10,994

Ethnicity profile	
White	80.23%
Mixed	1.67%
Asian or Asian British	10.60%
Black or Black British	3.80%
Other Ethnic Groups	2.20%
Prefer not to say	1.49%
Number of responses	10,988

Length of time worked in the hospital	
Less than a year	11.35%
1 – 5 years	34.46%
6 – 10 years	16.88%
More than 10 years	37.31%
Number of responses	10,978

Staff group	
Registered nurse (Band 5 or 6)	32.80%
Registered nurse (Band 7 or above)	17.79%
Healthcare assistant (Band 2 – 4)	15.01%
Doctor (Consultant)	11.47%
Doctor (Foundation)	2.53%
Doctor (Specialty training)	2.51%
Doctor (Other)	1.93%
Allied health professional	6.11%
Social worker	0.17%
Therapy assistant	0.73%
Pharmacist	1.28%
Chaplain	1.14%
Ward based administration/support	2.05%
Housekeepers	0.94%
Porters	0.39%
Others	3.13%
Number of responses	11,009



Appendix 21: Audit summary

Number of deaths (with exclusions)	Average per submission
Number of deaths within the audit period (excl. deaths in A&E and within 4 hours of admission) as a percentage of all deaths in the audit period	92.69%
Number of responses	226

Number of deaths in A&E	Average per submission
Number of deaths in A&E within the audit period as a percentage of all deaths in the audit period	5.22%
Number of responses	226

Number of deaths within 4 hours of admissions	Average per submission
Number of deaths within 4 hours of admission within the audit period as a percentage of all deaths in the audit period	2.09%
Number of responses	226

Number of Quality Surveys sent	Average per submission
Number of Quality Surveys sent	71.83
Surveys returned as a percentage of letters sent	23.01%



Appendix 22: Steering Group, Advisory Group and Audit Team

The National Audit of Care at the End of Life Steering Group		
Name	Title	Representing
Dr Suzanne Kite	Co-Clinical Lead, NACEL	NACEL
Elizabeth Rees	Co-Clinical Lead, NACEL	NACEL
Dr Anushta Sivananthan	Mental Health Clinical Lead, NACEL	NACEL
Claire Holditch	Project Director, NACEL	NHS Benchmarking Network
Debbie Hibbert	Project Manager, NACEL	NHS Benchmarking Network
Professor Bee Wee CBE	National Clinical Director for End of Life Care	NHS England/Improvement
Dr Helen Milbourn	Consultant Geriatrician	British Geriatrics Society
Caroline Nicholson	Senior Clinical Lecturer: Supportive and End of Life Care (Nursing)	British Geriatrics Society
Gloria Clark	Project Manager	The Patients Association
Dr Joe Cosgrove	Consultant Anaesthetist	Royal College of Anaesthetists/Faculty of Intensive Care Medicine
Dr Sarah Cox	Consultant in Palliative Care	Large acute hospitals
Andrew Dickman	Pharmacist	Association of Supportive and Palliative Care Pharmacists
Dr Catriona Mayland	Consultant in Palliative Medicine and Senior Clinical Research Fellow	Research/Academic Interest
Professor John Ellershaw	Director of the Palliative Care Institute, University of Liverpool	Association for Palliative Medicine
Dr David Brooks	Chair JCP In Palliative Medicine	Royal College of Physicians
Sherree Fagge	End of Life Care Lead	NHS England/Improvement
Annette Furley	End of Life Doula/Member of NICE guideline committee	NACEL lay representative
Sarah Tilsed	Head of Patient Partnerships	The Patients Association
Dr Melanie Jefferson	Clinical Lead for NACEL	NHS Wales
Dr Di Laverty	Chair	National Nurses Group (Palliative Care)
Giselle Martin-Dominguez	Professional Lead for End of Life Care	Royal College of Nursing
Dr Catherine Millington-Sanders	General Practitioner	Royal College of General Practitioners
Ann Ford	End of Life Lead	Care Quality Commission
Tina Strack	Associate Director, Quality & Improvement	Healthcare Quality Improvement Partnership (HQIP)
Kevin Tromans	Chaplain	College of Healthcare Chaplains
Diane Walker	Palliative Care in Partnership Macmillan Programme Manager	Northern Ireland Public Health Agency
Dr Amelia Swift	Professional Lead for End of Life Care	Royal College of Nursing



Appendix 22: Steering Group, Advisory Group and Audit Team

The National Audit of Care at the End of Life Advisory Group		
Name	Title	Representing
Dr Amit Arora	Consultant Geriatrician	University Hospital of North Midlands
Ashling Lillis	Consultant in Emergency Medicine	Macmillan Cancer Care
Jennifer Beveridge	Analyst, Uptake and Impact	The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
Dr Sarah Holmes	Medical Director	Marie Curie - UK
Dr Sally Carding	Consultant in Palliative Medicine	Sue Ryder
Dawn Hart	Senior Clinical and Quality Improvement Lead	Hospice UK
Dr Thomas Cowling	Assistant Professor, Department of Health Services Research and Policy Faculty of Public Health and Policy London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine	Royal College of Surgeons
Susan Dewar	District Nurse	Sussex Community NHS Foundation Trust
Vivien Dunne	Project Manager	Healthcare Quality Improvement Partnership (HQIP)
Ray Elder	Strategic Lead Palliative Care	South Eastern Health and Social Care Trust
Peter Bower	Representative	Healthwatch UK
Dr Paul Hopper	Consultant Psychogeriatrician	Central and North West London NHS Foundation Trust
Johanna Kuila	Policy Manager – Education Policy	General Medical Council
Dr Paul Perkins	Chief Medical Director	Sue Ryder
John Powell	End of Life Lead	Association of Directors of Adult Social Services (ADASS)
Charlotte Rock	Regional co-clinical lead for EoLC/Palliative Care for Yorkshire & the Humber/Palliative Care Lead Nurse	Harrogate and District NHS Foundation Trust
Dr Joy Ross	Consultant in Palliative Medicine	St Christopher's Hospice
Lucie Rudd	End of Life Specialist Advisor	Macmillan Cancer Care
Dr Rebekah Schiff	Consultant Geriatrician and General Medicine/Service Lead Ageing and Health	Guys and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust
Veronica Snow	Palliative Care Implementation Board - Wales	Powys University Health Board
Lucy Sutton	End of Life Care Lead	Health Education England
Jessica Watkin	Policy Manager – Standards and Ethics	General Medical Council
Dr Victoria Wheatley	Consultant in Palliative Care	Cwm Taf University Health Board



Appendix 22: Steering Group, Advisory Group and Audit Team

The National Audit of Care at the End of Life Audit Team		
Name	Title	Representing
Claire Holditch	Director	NHS Benchmarking Network
Debbie Hibbert	Associate Director – Community	NHS Benchmarking Network
Jessica Grantham	Head of Technology	NHS Benchmarking Network
Jessica Walsh	Project Manager	NHS Benchmarking Network
Joylin Brockett	Project Manager	NHS Benchmarking Network
Chloe Hocking	Project Coordinator	NHS Benchmarking Network
Paris Selby-Grace	Project Coordinator	NHS Benchmarking Network
Michael Wong	Project Coordinator	NHS benchmarking Network



Appendix 23: Audit participation

Number of organisations supplying data for the Trust/HB overview	
England	163
Wales	8
Total	171

Number of submissions supplying data for the hospital/site overview	England	Wales	Total
Acute	174	6	180
Community	54	5	59
Total	228	11	239

Number of submissions supplying data for the Case Note Review	England	Wales	Total
Acute	170	6	176
Community	42	5	47
Total	212	11	223

Number of Case Note Reviews returned by type of submission	England	Wales	Total
Acute	5,970	221	6,191
Community	486	146	632
Total	6,456	367	6,823

Submissions with at least one Quality Survey returned	England	Wales	Total
Acute	87	-	87
Community	17	-	17
Total	104	-	104

Number of Quality Surveys returned by submission type	England	Wales	Total
Acute	3,046	-	3,046
Community	72	-	72
Total	3,118	-	3,118

Submissions with at least one Staff Reported Measure returned	England	Wales	Total
Acute	141	5	146
Community	34	5	39
Total	175	10	185

Number of Staff Reported Measures returned by submission type	England	Wales	Total
Acute	9,626	653	10,279
Community	641	125	766
Total	10,267	778	11,045



Appendix 23: Audit participation

Organisation and submission	Peer group	T/HB	H/S	CNR	SRM	QS
Airedale NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	38	0	7
Aneurin Bevan University Health Board - ABUHB Acute	Acute	✓	✓	40	138	0
Aneurin Bevan University Health Board - ABUHB Comm	Community	✓	-	28	36	0
Anglian Community Enterprise CIC	Community	✓	✓	11	7	0
Ashford and St Peter's Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	5	8
Barking, Havering and Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust - King George Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	36	0	0
Barking, Havering and Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust - Queen's Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	40	113	0
Barnsley Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	29	0
Barts Health NHS Trust - Margaret Centre	Acute	✓	✓	22	11	0
Barts Health NHS Trust - Newham University Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	22	28	0
Barts Health NHS Trust - St Bartholomew's Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	8	11	0
Barts Health NHS Trust - The Royal London Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	25	93	0
Barts Health NHS Trust - Whipps Cross Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	17	101	0
Bedfordshire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Bedford hospital	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	0
Bedfordshire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Luton and Dunstable Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	40	205	0
Berkshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust - Community Health Inpatients	Community	✓	✓	17	19	13
Betsi Cadwaladr University Health Board - Acute Hospitals	Acute	✓	✓	24	104	0
Betsi Cadwaladr University Health Board - Community Hospitals	Community	✓	✓	28	21	0
Birmingham Community Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust	Community	✓	✓	16	13	0
Blackpool Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	68	3
Bolton NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	65	0
Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Bradford Royal Infirmary	Acute	✓	✓	40	161	29
Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - St Luke's Hospital	Community	✓	✓	9	3	0
Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Westbourne Green Hospital	Community	✓	✓	2	4	0
Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Westwood Park Hospital	Community	✓	✓	4	13	0
Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	19	15	0
Buckinghamshire Healthcare NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	0
Calderdale and Huddersfield NHS Foundation Trust - Calderdale Royal Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	40	61	58
Calderdale and Huddersfield NHS Foundation Trust - Huddersfield Royal Infirmary	Acute	✓	✓	40	88	19
Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	64	61
Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust - CPFT: Community Hospitals	Community	✓	✓	0	0	0
Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust - CPFT: End of Life Care Units	Community	✓	✓	0	0	0



Appendix 23: Audit participation

Organisation and submission	Peer group	T/HB	H/S	CNR	SRM	QS
Cardiff & Vale University Health Board - Cardiff & Vale University Health Board- Acute	Acute	✓	✓	40	211	0
Central and North West London NHS Foundation Trust - CNWL HICU Woodlands	Community	✓	✓	0	0	0
Central and North West London NHS Foundation Trust - CNWL St Pancras	Community	✓	✓	0	0	0
Central and North West London NHS Foundation Trust - CNWL WICU	Community	✓	✓	0	0	0
Chelsea and Westminster Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	0
Chesterfield Royal Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	150	0
City Health Care Partnership CIC	Community	✓	✓	4	0	0
Cornwall Partnership NHS Foundation Trust - Community	Community	-	-	5	0	0
Countess of Chester Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	130	0
County Durham and Darlington NHS Foundation Trust	Community	✓	✓	12	29	0
County Durham and Darlington NHS Foundation Trust - Acute Hospitals	Acute	✓	✓	40	36	71
Croydon Health Services NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	122	0
Cwm Taf Morgannwg University Local Health Board - Acute	Acute	✓	✓	39	163	0
Cwm Taf Morgannwg University Local Health Board - Community	Community	✓	✓	40	41	0
Dartford and Gravesham NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	10	0
Derbyshire Community Health Services NHS Foundation Trust	Community	✓	✓	7	94	1
Doncaster and Bassetlaw Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - District General Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	12	40	1
Doncaster and Bassetlaw Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Doncaster Royal Infirmary	Acute	✓	✓	40	116	43
Dorset County Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	45
Dorset HealthCare University NHS Foundation Trust - Community	Community	✓	✓	24	22	0
East and North Hertfordshire NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	41	0
East Cheshire NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	28	16	32
East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust - Kent and Canterbury Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	16	38	0
East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust - Queen Elizabeth the Queen Mother Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	40	41	0
East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust - William Harvey Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	40	41	0
East Lancashire Hospitals NHS Trust - Burnley General Teaching Hospital	Community	✓	✓	12	31	0
East Lancashire Hospitals NHS Trust - Royal Blackburn Teaching Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	40	95	0
East London NHS Foundation Trust - Community	Community	✓	✓	3	2	0
East Suffolk and North Essex NHS Foundation Trust - Colchester Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	57



Appendix 23: Audit participation

Organisation and submission	Peer group	T/HB	H/S	CNR	SRM	QS
East Suffolk and North Essex NHS Foundation Trust - Community	Community	✓	✓	1	0	0
East Suffolk and North Essex NHS Foundation Trust - Ipswich Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	30
East Sussex Healthcare NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	57	24
Epsom and St Helier University Hospitals NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	86	0
Essex Partnership University NHS Foundation Trust - CHS	Community	✓	✓	4	15	0
First Community Health and Care	Community	✓	✓	0	12	0
Frimley Health NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	105	19
Gateshead Health NHS Foundation Trust - Acute	Acute	✓	✓	40	84	20
George Eliot Hospital NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	33	0	0
Gloucestershire Health and Care NHS Foundation Trust - Community	Community	✓	✓	28	22	5
Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	39	148	172
Great Western Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	117	29
Great Western Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - SWICC	Community	✓	✓	9	27	1
Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	37	0	43
Hampshire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	36	72
Harrogate and District NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	36	44	31
Herefordshire and Worcestershire Health and Care NHS Trust - Community	Community	✓	✓	25	5	4
Hertfordshire Community NHS Trust	Community	✓	✓	0	23	1
Homerton University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	30	107	0
Hounslow and Richmond Community Healthcare NHS Trust	Community	✓	✓	0	0	0
Hull University Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	117	0
Hywel Dda University Health Board - Acute Hospitals	Acute	✓	✓	38	37	0
Hywel Dda University Health Board - HDUHB - Community Hospitals	Community	✓	✓	15	23	0
Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	121	0
Isle of Wight NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	39	83	58
James Paget University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	43	0
Kent Community Health NHS Foundation Trust - EAST	Community	✓	✓	4	1	4
Kent Community Health NHS Foundation Trust - WEST	Community	✓	-	1	0	0
Kettering General Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	0
King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust - Denmark Hill	Acute	✓	✓	39	82	33
King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust - PRUH	Acute	✓	✓	40	52	62
Kingston Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	108	0
Lancashire & South Cumbria NHS Foundation Trust - Community	Community	✓	✓	0	0	2
Lancashire Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Chorley and South Ribble District General Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	16	0	20



Appendix 23: Audit participation

Organisation and submission	Peer group	T/HB	H/S	CNR	SRM	QS
Lancashire Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Royal Preston	Acute	✓	✓	40	149	64
Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	0
Leicestershire Partnership NHS Trust	Community	✓	✓	27	27	6
Lewisham and Greenwich NHS Trust - Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Woolwich	Acute	✓	✓	38	72	10
Lewisham and Greenwich NHS Trust - University Hospital Lewisham	Acute	✓	✓	37	39	9
Lincolnshire Community Health Services NHS Trust	Community	✓	✓	26	6	6
Liverpool Heart and Chest NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	9	42	0
Liverpool University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Aintree University Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	40	43	9
Liverpool University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospitals	Acute	✓	✓	40	55	12
Livewell Southwest - Physical Health Wards	Community	✓	✓	7	0	0
London North West University Healthcare NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	0
Maidstone and Tunbridge Wells NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	48	0
Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust - Manchester Royal Infirmary	Acute	✓	✓	26	17	12
Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust - North Manchester General Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	37	1	8
Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust - Trafford General Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	4	15	0
Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust - Wythenshawe Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	36	37	32
Medway NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	35	16	0
Mid and South Essex NHS Foundation Trust - Basildon and Thurrock University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	0
Mid and South Essex NHS Foundation Trust - Basildon Hospital	Acute	✓	-	0	0	0
Mid and South Essex NHS Foundation Trust - Broomfield Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	0
Mid and South Essex NHS Foundation Trust - Southend Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	40	73	0
Mid Cheshire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	21	114	16
Mid Yorkshire Hospitals NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	33	129	0
Midlands Partnership NHS Foundation Trust - Community Hospital	Community	✓	✓	9	34	0
Milton Keynes University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	0
Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	151	18
North Bristol NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	39	157	141
North Cumbria Integrated Care NHS Foundation Trust - Community Hospitals	Community	✓	✓	20	0	0
North Cumbria Integrated Care NHS Foundation Trust - Cumberland Infirmary	Acute	✓	✓	36	0	0
North Cumbria Integrated Care NHS Foundation Trust - West Cumberland Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	22	0	0



Appendix 23: Audit participation

Organisation and submission	Peer group	T/HB	H/S	CNR	SRM	QS
North Middlesex University Hospital NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	37	73	32
North Tees and Hartlepool NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	36	2
North West Anglia NHS Foundation Trust - Hinchingsbrooke Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	34	8	0
North West Anglia NHS Foundation Trust - Peterborough City Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	40	38	0
Northampton General Hospital NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	137	12
Northamptonshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust - Community Beds	Community	✓	✓	8	31	7
Northern Devon Healthcare NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	0
Northern Lincolnshire and Goole NHS Foundation Trust - Diana Princess of Wales Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	39	44	28
Northern Lincolnshire and Goole NHS Foundation Trust - Scunthorpe General Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	40	34	18
Northumbria Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust - Community Hospitals	Community	✓	✓	12	4	0
Northumbria Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust - Hexham	Acute	✓	✓	12	14	0
Northumbria Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust - NSECH	Acute	✓	✓	36	43	0
Northumbria Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust - NTGH	Acute	✓	✓	30	9	0
Northumbria Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust - WGH	Acute	✓	✓	36	19	0
Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	182	0
Nottinghamshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust - CHS	Community	✓	✓	4	19	0
Oxford Health NHS Foundation Trust - Community	Community	✓	✓	4	47	0
Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	38	81	9
Oxleas NHS Foundation Trust - Community	Community	✓	✓	0	4	0
Pennine Acute Hospitals NHS Trust - Fairfield PAHNT	Acute	✓	✓	39	12	34
Pennine Acute Hospitals NHS Trust - Oldham Intermediate Care PAHNT	Community	✓	✓	0	18	0
Pennine Acute Hospitals NHS Trust - Rochdale Infirmary; PAHNT	Community	✓	✓	9	20	4
Pennine Acute Hospitals NHS Trust - Royal Oldham PAHNT	Acute	✓	✓	40	7	47
Portsmouth Hospitals University NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	73
Powys Local Health Board	Community	✓	✓	35	0	0
Queen Victoria Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	2	27	2
Royal Berkshire NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	120	27
Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	17	58	2
Royal Cornwall Hospitals NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	59	99
Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Foundation Trust - ACUTE	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	52



Appendix 23: Audit participation

Organisation and submission	Peer group	T/HB	H/S	CNR	SRM	QS
Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Foundation Trust - COMMUNITY	Community	✓	✓	2	0	0
Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	26	0
Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust - Barnet Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	38	25	0
Royal Papworth Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	9	3	0
Royal Surrey NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	88	55
Royal United Hospitals Bath NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	102	0
Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	0
Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	85	0
Sandwell and West Birmingham Hospitals NHS Trust - City Hospital (SWBH NHS Trust)	Acute	✓	✓	35	57	22
Sandwell and West Birmingham Hospitals NHS Trust - Sandwell Hospital (SWBH NHS Trust)	Acute	✓	✓	40	31	44
Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	232	53
Sherwood Forest Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	104	9
Shrewsbury and Telford Hospital NHS Trust - Royal Shrewsbury Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	0
Shrewsbury and Telford Hospital NHS Trust - The Princess Royal Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	0
Shropshire Community Health NHS Trust	Community	✓	✓	9	20	3
Solent NHS Trust	Community	✓	✓	0	0	0
Somerset NHS Foundation Trust - Community	Community	✓	✓	36	21	0
Somerset NHS Foundation Trust – Somerset FT (Acute)	Acute	✓	✓	40	31	0
South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - H&R Primary Care hospitals	Community	✓	✓	0	3	0
South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - MR&C Primary care hospitals	Community	✓	✓	7	5	0
South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - The Friarage Hospital Northallerton	Acute	✓	✓	10	4	0
South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - The James Cook university hospital	Acute	✓	✓	38	110	0
South Tyneside and Sunderland NHS Foundation Trust - South Tyneside District Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	37	6	0
South Tyneside and Sunderland NHS Foundation Trust - Sunderland Royal Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	40	11	0
South Warwickshire NHS Foundation Trust - Warwick Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	38	43	15
South West Yorkshire Partnership NHS Foundation Trust - Community	Community	✓	✓	0	0	0
Southern Health NHS Foundation Trust - Community	Community	✓	✓	30	18	0
Southport and Ormskirk Hospital NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	56	0
St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	0
St Helens and Knowsley Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	101	50
Stockport NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	115	0
Surrey and Sussex Healthcare NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	69	10



Appendix 23: Audit participation

Organisation and submission	Peer group	T/HB	H/S	CNR	SRM	QS
Swansea Bay University Health Board - Acute	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	0
Tameside and Glossop Integrated Care NHS Foundation Trust - Acute	Acute	✓	✓	26	20	36
Tameside and Glossop Integrated Care NHS Foundation Trust - Community	Community	✓	✓	34	22	7
The Christie NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	16	38	12
The Clatterbridge Cancer Centre NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	12	39	9
The Dudley Group NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	92	13
The Hillingdon Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	25	0
The Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	123	31
The Princess Alexandra Hospital NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	37	8
The Queen Elizabeth Hospital, King's Lynn. NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	38	17	56
The Rotherham NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	9	0
The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	14	93	8
The Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	38	111	0
The Walton Centre NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	6	5	0
Torbay and South Devon NHS Foundation Trust - Acute Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	40	58	20
Torbay and South Devon NHS Foundation Trust - Community Hospitals	Community	✓	✓	20	0	4
United Lincolnshire Hospitals NHS Trust - Lincoln County Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	40	15	0
United Lincolnshire Hospitals NHS Trust - Pilgrim Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	40	13	0
University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	37	28
University Hospital of Derby and Burton NHS Foundation Trust - Burton Campus	Acute	✓	✓	35	146	0
University Hospital of Derby and Burton NHS Foundation Trust - Derby Campus	Acute	✓	✓	40	240	0
University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	261	0
University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust - Good Hope Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	0	0	0
University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust - Heartlands Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	0	0	0
University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust - Queen Elizabeth Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	0	0	0
University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust - Solihull Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	0	0	0
University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust - Bristol	Acute	✓	✓	37	93	14
University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust - Weston	Acute	✓	✓	36	14	13
University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	0



Appendix 23: Audit participation

Organisation and submission	Peer group	T/HB	H/S	CNR	SRM	QS
University Hospitals Dorset NHS Foundation Trust - Poole Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	39	106	19
University Hospitals Dorset NHS Foundation Trust - The Royal Bournemouth and Christchurch Hospitals	Acute	✓	✓	40	92	73
University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust - Glenfield Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	32	45	0
University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust - Leicester General Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	9	28	0
University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust - Leicester Royal Infirmary	Acute	✓	✓	37	84	0
University Hospitals of Morecambe Bay NHS Foundation Trust - Community Hospitals	Community	✓	✓	10	0	2
University Hospitals of Morecambe Bay NHS Foundation Trust - Furness General Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	26	63	10
University Hospitals of Morecambe Bay NHS Foundation Trust - Royal Lancaster Infirmary	Acute	✓	✓	40	26	17
University Hospitals of North Midlands NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	84	74
University Hospitals Plymouth NHS Trust - Derriford	Acute	✓	✓	40	110	20
Velindre NHS Trust	Community	✓	✓	0	4	0
Walsall Healthcare NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	53	43
Warrington and Halton Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	-	-	0	67	7
West Hertfordshire Hospitals NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	22	72
West Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust - Acute	Acute	✓	✓	40	49	45
West Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust - Community	Community	✓	✓	2	0	0
Western Sussex Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	20	0	0
Whittington Health NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	24	33	0
Wiltshire Health and Care	Community	✓	✓	5	0	0
Wirral University Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	129	57
Worcestershire Acute Hospitals NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	43	23
Wrightington, Wigan and Leigh NHS Foundation Trust - North West	Acute	✓	✓	40	81	0
Wye Valley NHS Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	59	27
Yeovil District Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	Acute	✓	✓	40	124	79
York and Scarborough Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Easingwold Community Hospital	Community	✓	✓	2	0	0
York and Scarborough Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Scarborough Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	35	0	46
York and Scarborough Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Selby War Memorial Hospital	Community	✓	✓	5	0	2
York and Scarborough Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - York Hospital	Acute	✓	✓	40	0	113





© 2022 Healthcare Quality Improvement Partnership (HQIP)

Citation for this document: NHS Benchmarking Network
National Audit of Care at the End of Life: Third round of audit appendices
England and Wales
2022